arXiv:nlin/0610054v2 [nlin.SI] 5 Dec 2006

$osp(1|2)$ off-shell Bethe ansatz equation with boundary terms

A. Lima-Santos

Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Departamento de Física
Caixa Postal 676, CEP 13569-905 São Carlos, Brasil

Abstract

This work is concerned with the quasi-classical limit of the boundary quantum inverse scattering method for the $osp(1|2)$ vertex model with diagonal K-matrices. In this limit Gaudin's Hamiltonians with boundary terms are presented and diagonalized. Moreover, integral representations for correlation functions are realized to be solutions of the trigonometric Knizhnik-Zamoldchikov equations.

PACS: 05.20.-y; 05.50.+q; 04.20.Jb

Keywords: Algebraic Bethe Ansatz, Open boundary conditions

February 8, 2008

1 Introduction

Integrable models in two-dimensional quantum field theories and the integrable vertex models in two dimensional classical statistical mechanics are the common link of many relevant exactly-solved quantum models in one dimension [1, 2, 3, 4]. Examples are the XXX, XXZ and the XYZ Heisenberg chains that find more and more applications in contemporary physics. The quantum inverse scattering method or algebraic Bethe ansatz is the tool to exploit this fact systematically [3]. The key toward this synthesis is the existence of a central object $\mathcal{R}(u)$, where u is a spectral parameter, acting on the tensor product $V \otimes V$ of a given vector space V and being a solution of the celebrated quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{23}(v) = \mathcal{R}_{23}(v)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u), \tag{1.1}$$

in $V^1 \otimes V^2 \otimes V^3$, where $\mathcal{R}_{12} = \mathcal{R} \otimes 1$, $\mathcal{R}_{23} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{R}$, etc.

The solution $\mathcal{R}(u)$ of (1.1) is said to be quasi-classical if $\mathcal{R}(u)$ also depends on an additional parameter η in such a way that

$$\mathcal{R}(u,\eta) = 1 + \eta\ r(u) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \tag{1.2}$$

where 1 is the identity operator on the space $V \otimes V$. The "classical r-matrix" obeys the equation

$$[r_{12}(u), r_{13}(u+v) + r_{23}(v)] + [r_{13}(u+v), r_{23}(v)] = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

This equation, called the classical Yang-Baxter equation, plays an important role in the theory of classical completely integrable systems [5].

Nondegenerate solutions of (1.3) in the tensor product of two copies of a simple Lie algebra g , $r_{ij}(u) \in \mathrm{g}_i \otimes \mathrm{g}_j$, $i,j = 1,2,3$, were classified by Belavin and Drinfeld [6].

Gaudin models [7] constitute an important class of one dimensional many-body systems with long-range interactions with important applications in many branches of fields ranging from condensed matter to high energy physics [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. They are related to the classical r-matrices through the definition of the density Gaudin Hamiltonians

$$G_a = \sum_{b\neq a}^{N} r_{ab}(z_a - z_b) \tag{1.4}$$

The commutativity condition $[G_a, G_b] = 0$ is a consequence of the classical Yang-Baxter equation (1.3).

The classical Yang-Baxter equation also has a counterpart in conformal field theory, which can be described in the following way: in the skew-symmetric case $r_{ji}(-u) + r_{ij}(u) = 0$, it is the compatibility condition for the system of linear differential equations

$$\kappa \frac{\partial \Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)}{\partial z_i} = \sum_{j\neq i} r_{ij}(z_i - z_j)\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N) \tag{1.5}$$

in N complex variables $z_1, ..., z_N$ for vector-valued functions Ψ with values in the tensor space $V = V^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V^N$, where κ is a coupling constant.

In the rational case [6], very simple skew-symmetric solutions are known: $r(u) = \mathrm{C}_2/u$, where $\mathrm{C}_2 \in \mathrm{g} \otimes \mathrm{g}$ is a symmetric invariant tensor of a finite dimensional Lie algebra g. The above system of linear differential equations (1.5) is known as the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) system of equations for the conformal blocks of the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) models of the conformal field theory on the sphere [13].

The work of Babujian and Flume [14] unveils one link between the algebraic Bethe ansatz for the theory of the Gaudin models and the conformal field theory of WZWN models. In their approach, the Bethe wave vectors for an inhomogeneous lattice model give, in the quasi-classical limit, solutions of the KZ equation for the case of simple Lie algebras. For instance, in the $su(2)$ example, the quantum inverse scattering method [15] allows one to write the following equation

$$\tau(u|z)\Phi(u_{1,\cdots,}u_p) = \Lambda(u, u_1, \cdots, u_p|z)\Phi(u_1, \cdots, u_p) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \frac{\mathcal{F}_\alpha \Phi^\alpha}{u - u_\alpha}. \tag{1.6}$$

Here $\tau(u|z)$ denotes the transfer matrix of the rational vertex model in an inhomogeneous lattice. Φ^α meaning $\Phi^\alpha = \Phi(u_1, \cdots u_{\alpha-1}, u, u_{\alpha+1}, ..., u_p)$; $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(u_1, \cdots, u_p|z)$ and $\Lambda(u, u_1, \cdots, u_p|z)$ being complex valued functions. The vanishing of the so-called unwanted terms, $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = 0$, is demanded in the usual procedure of the algebraic Bethe ansatz [16, 17] in order to determine the eigenvalues of $\tau(u|z)$. In this case the wave vector $\Phi(u_1, \cdots, u_p)$ becomes an eigenvector of the transfer matrix with eigenvalue $\Lambda(u, u_1, \cdots, u_p|z)$. If we keep all unwanted terms, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \neq 0$, then the wave vector Φ in general satisfies the equation (1.6), named in [18] as off-shell Bethe ansatz equation (OSBAE).

There is a close relationship between the wave vector satisfying the OSBAE (1.6) and the vector-valued solutions of the KZ equation (1.5): the general vector valued solution of the KZ equation for an arbitrary simple Lie algebra was found by Schechtman and Varchenko [19]. It can be represented as a multiple contour integral

$$\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = \oint \cdots \oint \mathcal{X}(u_1, ..., u_p|z)\phi(u_1, ..., u_p|z)du_1 \cdots du_p. \tag{1.7}$$

The complex variables $z_1, ..., z_N$ of (1.7) are related with the disorder parameters of the OSBAE . The vector valued function $\phi(u_1, ..., u_p|z)$ is the quasi-classical limit of the wave vector $\Phi(u_1, ..., u_p|z)$. The Bethe ansatz for the Gaudin model was derived for any simple Lie algebra by Reshetikhin and Varchenko [20]. The scalar function $\mathcal{X}(u_1, ..., u_p|z)$ determines the monodromy of $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ and it is constructed from the quasi-classical limit of the $\Lambda(u = z_k; u_1, ..., u_p|z)$ and $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(u_1, \cdots, u_p|z)$ functions. This representation of the N-point correlation function shows a deep connection between the inhomogeneous vertex models and the WZNW theory.

In [21] this idea has been applied on the periodic XYZ Gaudin model [22] in order to derive and solve the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equation for $SU(2)$-WZWN model on the torus. [23]. In a series of papers [24, 25, 26] we have considered the 19-vertices models, the $osp(1|2)$ model and the twisted $A_2^{(2)}$ or Izergin-Korepin model and the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ model, respectively. In these works, the OSBAE procedure was used in order to find and solve the corresponding Gaudin models, as well as, the corresponding KZ equations. The algebraic structure of the periodic trigonometric $osp(1|2)$ Gaudin model has been studied with details in [27].

Since the work of Sklyanin [28], the algebraic Bethe ansatz has been applied to various integrable models with non-trivial boundary conditions, which are specified by K-matrices satisfying the reflection equation and its dual [29]. The quasi-classical expansion of the corresponding double-row transfer matrices produces generalized Gaudin Hamiltonians with boundaries.

Recently Gaudin models with non-trivial integrable boundaries have attracted much interest. Initially the attention has been concentrated on Gaudin models with diagonal K-matrices [30, 31, 32, 33]. Nevertheless, in [34] the XXZ Gaudin model was solved with non-diagonal K-matrices and in [35] this result was generalized in order to solve the A_{n-1} Gaudin magnets with non-diagonal K-matrices. In this context, in [36] the XXZ model is also used to solve the Gaudin magnets with non-diagonal impurities.

In this paper we present a systematic study of the algebraic structure of the 19-vertex model based on the orthosympletic Lie algebra $osp(1|2)$, through the algebraic Bethe ansatz with diagonal K-matrices and we also show how the quasi-classical limit procedure gives us the corresponding generalized Gaudin magnets. Moreover, we will construct and solve the trigonometric $osp(1|2)$ KZ equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the $osp(1|2)$ vertex model and its three diagonal K-matrices. In Section 3, the inhomogeneous algebraic Bethe ansatz with boundary terms is presented with details in order to derive the boundary off-shell Bethe ansatz equation for this vertex model. In Section 4 , the structure of the generalized Gaudin Hamiltonians is presented. In Section 5, we describe the corresponding off-shell Gaudin equations taking into account the quasi-classical limit of the results of the Section 3. In Section 6, data of the boundary off-shell Gaudin equation are used to construct solutions of the trigonometric KZ equation for the three cases. Conclusions are reserved for Section 7. Finally, commutation relations and some definitions are presented in appendices.

2 The model

Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a Z_2-graded vector space where 0 and 1 denote the even and odd parts respectively. The multiplication rules in the graded tensor product space $V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ differ from the ordinary ones by the appearance of additional signs. The components of a linear operator $A \overset{s}{\otimes} B \in V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ result in matrix elements of the form

$$(A \overset{s}{\otimes} B)^{\gamma\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = (-1)^{p(\beta)(p(\alpha)+p(\gamma))} \; A_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\beta\delta}. \tag{2.1}$$

The action of the graded permutation operator $\mathcal{P}$ on the vector $|\alpha\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\beta\rangle \in V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{P} \;\; |\alpha\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\beta\rangle = (-)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} \, |\beta\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\alpha\rangle \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{P})^{\gamma\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = (-1)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} \delta_{\alpha\delta} \; \delta_{\beta\gamma}. \tag{2.2}$$

The graded transposition st and the graded trace str are defined by

$$\left(A^{\mathrm{st}}\right)_{\alpha\beta} = (-1)^{(p(\alpha)+1)p(\beta)} A_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \mathrm{str} A = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{p(\alpha)} A_{\alpha\alpha}. \tag{2.3}$$

where $p(\alpha) = 1$ (0) if $|\alpha\rangle$ is an odd (even) element.

For the graded case the Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{23}(v) = \mathcal{R}_{23}(v)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) \tag{2.4}$$

and the reflection equation [28, 37]

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u-v)K^{-}_{1}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u+v)K^{-}_{2}(v) = K^{-}_{2}(v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u+v)K^{-}_{1}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u-v) \tag{2.5}$$

remain the same as in the non-graded cases and we only need to change the usual tensor product to the graded tensor product.

In general, the dual reflection equation which depends on the unitarity and cross-unitarity relations of the $\mathcal{R}$-matrix takes different forms for different models. For the model considered in this paper, we write the graded dual reflection equation in the form introduced in [38]:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{21}(-u+v)(K^{+}_{1})^{st_1}(u)M^{-1}_{1}\mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{12}(-u-v-2\rho)M_1(K^{+}_{2})^{st_2}(v)\\ &= (K^{+}_{2})^{st_2}(v)M_1\mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{12}(-u-v-2\rho)M^{-1}_{1}(K^{+}_{1})^{st_1}(u)\mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{21}(-u+v),\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now, using the relations

$$\mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{12}(u) = I_1 R_{21}(u) I_1, \quad \mathcal{R}^{st_1 st_2}_{21}(u) = I_1 R_{12}(u) I_1 \quad \text{and} \quad I K^{+}(u) I = K^{+}(u) \tag{2.7}$$

with $I = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,1)$ and the property $[M_1 M_2, \mathcal{R}(u)] = 0$ we can see that the usual isomorphism [39]

$$K^{-}(u) :\to K^{+}(u) = K^{-}(-u-\rho)^{st} M. \tag{2.8}$$

holds with the BFB grading. Here st_i denotes super-transposition in the space i.

A quantum-integrable system is characterized by the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ satisfying the fundamental relation

$$R(u-v)\,[T(u) \otimes T(v)] = [T(v) \otimes T(u)]\, R(u-v) \tag{2.9}$$

where the intertwining R-matrix is given by $R(u) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}(u)$.

In the framework of the quantum inverse scattering method [15], the simplest monodromies have become known as $\mathcal{L}$ operators, the Lax operators, here defined by $\mathcal{L}_{aq}(u) = \mathcal{R}_{aq}(u)$, where the subscript a represents the auxiliary (horizontal) space, and q represents the quantum (vertical) space. The monodromy matrix $T(u)$ is defined as the matrix product of N Lax operators on all sites of the lattice,

$$T(u) = \mathcal{L}_{aN}(u)\mathcal{L}_{aN-1}(u)\cdots\mathcal{L}_{a1}(u). \tag{2.10}$$

The main result for open boundaries integrability is: if the boundary equations are satisfied, then the Sklyanin's transfer matrix [28]

$$t(u) = \mathrm{str}_a\left(K^{+}(u)T(u)K^{-}(u)T^{-1}(-u)\right), \tag{2.11}$$

forms a commuting collection of operators in the quantum space

$$[t(u), t(v)] = 0, \qquad \forall u, v \tag{2.12}$$

The commutativity of $t(u)$ can be proved by using the unitarity and crossing-unitarity relations, the reflection equation and the dual reflection equation. In particular, it implies the integrability of an open quantum spin chain whose Hamiltonian (with $K^{-}(0) = 1$) is given by [28]

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} H_{k,k+1} + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{dK_1^{-}(u)}{du}\right|_{u=0} + \frac{\mathrm{str}_0 K_0^{+}(0) H_{N,0}}{\mathrm{str} K^{+}(0)}, \tag{2.13}$$

where the two-site terms are given by

$$H_{k,k+1} = \left.\frac{d}{du}R_{k,k+1}(u)\right|_{u=0} \tag{2.14}$$

in the standard fashion.

The trigonometric solution of the graded Yang-Baxter equation (2.4) corresponding to $osp(1|2)$ in the fundamental representation has the form

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = \frac{1}{x_2}\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_2 & 0 & x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_3 & 0 & x_6 & 0 & x_7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y_5 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_6 & 0 & x_4 & 0 & x_6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & x_5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_7 & 0 & y_6 & 0 & x_3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_5 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.15}$$

with non-zero entries [40]:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1(u) &= \sinh(u+2\eta)\sinh(u+3\eta), \quad x_2(u)=\sinh u\sinh(u+3\eta) \\
x_3(u) &= \sinh u\sinh(u+\eta), \quad x_4(u)=\sinh u\sinh(u+3\eta)-\sinh 2\eta\sinh 3\eta \\
x_5(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{-u}\sinh 2\eta\sinh(u+3\eta), \quad y_5(u)=\mathrm{e}^{u}\sinh 2\eta\sinh(u+3\eta) \\
x_6(u) &= -\epsilon\mathrm{e}^{-u-2\eta}\sinh 2\eta\sinh u, \quad y_6(u)=\epsilon\mathrm{e}^{u+2\eta}\sinh 2\eta\sinh u \\
x_7(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{-u}\sinh 2\eta\left(\sinh(u+3\eta)+\mathrm{e}^{-\eta}\sinh u\right) \\
y_7(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{u}\sinh 2\eta\left(\sinh(u+3\eta)+\mathrm{e}^{\eta}\sinh u\right) \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

satisfying the properties

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{regularity} &: \quad \mathcal{R}_{12}(0)=f(0)^{1/2}P_{12}, \\
\text{unitarity} &: \quad \mathcal{R}_{12}(u)\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(-u)=f(u), \\
\mathrm{PT}-\text{symmetry} &: \quad P_{12}\mathcal{R}_{12}(u)P_{12}=\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(u), \\
\text{crossing}-\text{symmetry} &: \quad \mathcal{R}_{12}(u)=U_1\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_2}(-u-\rho)U_1^{-1}, \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon=\pm1$, $f(u)=\frac{x_1(u)}{x_2(u)}\frac{x_1(-u)}{x_2(-u)}$ and ρ is the crossing parameter and U determines the crossing matrix $M=U^tU=M^t$. Here we have assumed that the grading of threefold space is $p(1)=p(3)=0$ and $p(2)=1$, the BFB grading and we will choose the solution with $\epsilon=1$. Moreover, we have verified that the three different sets of equations associated with the gradings BFB, FBB and BBF are mutually equivalent.

This $\mathcal{R}$-matrix is regular and unitary. It is PT-symmetric and crossing-symmetric, with $\rho=3\eta$ and

$$M=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{-2\eta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{e}^{2\eta} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (2.18)$$

Diagonal solutions $K^-(u)$ for the reflection equation (2.5) have been obtained in [41]. It turns out that there are three solutions without free parameters, being $K^-(u)=1$, $K^-(u)=F^+$ and $K^-(u)=F^-$, with

$$F^{\pm}=\begin{pmatrix} \mp\mathrm{e}^{-2u}f^{(\pm)}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mp\mathrm{e}^{2u}f^{(\pm)}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.19)$$

where we have defined

$$f^{(+)}(u)=\frac{\sinh(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta)}{\sinh(u-\frac{3}{2}\eta)}, \quad f^{(-)}(u)=\frac{\cosh(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta)}{\cosh(u-\frac{3}{2}\eta)}. \qquad (2.20)$$

By the automorphism (2.8), three solutions $K^+(u)$ follow as $K^+(u)=M$, $K^+(u)=G^+$ and $K^+(u)=G^-$, with

$$G^{\pm} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mp e^{2u+4\eta} g^{(\pm)}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mp e^{-2u-4\eta} g^{(\pm)}(u) \end{array} \right), \tag{2.21}$$

where we have defined

$$g^{(+)}(u) = \frac{\sinh(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta)}{\sinh(u + \frac{9}{2}\eta)}, \quad g^{(-)}(u) = \frac{\cosh(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta)}{\cosh(u + \frac{9}{2}\eta)}. \tag{2.22}$$

We have thus nine possibilities for the commuting transfer matrix (2.11). We will only consider three types of boundary solutions, one for each pair $(K^{-}(u), K^{+}(u))$ defined by the automorphism (2.8): $(1, M)$, (F^{+}, G^{+}) and (F^{-}, G^{-}).

3 The boundary off-shell Bethe equation

The boundary algebraic Bethe ansatz for a N-site inhomogeneous double-row transfer matrix is obtained from the homogeneous ones by a local shifting of the spectral parameter u in the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ and in its reflection $T^{-1}(-u)$:

$$T_{ia}(u|z) = \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_{N-1}=1}^{3} \mathcal{L}_{ik_1}^{(N)}(u - z_N, \eta) \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_{k_1 k_2}^{(N-1)}(u - z_{N-1}, \eta) \overset{s}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_{k_{N-1} a}^{(1)}(u - z_1, \eta) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$T_{bj}^{-1}(-u|z) = \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_{N-1}=1}^{3} \mathcal{L}_{bk_1}^{\prime(1)}(u + z_1, \eta) \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_{k_1 k_2}^{\prime(2)}(u + z_2, \eta) \overset{s}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_{k_{N-1} j}^{\prime(N)}(u + z_N, \eta). \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}^{\prime(n)}(u + z_n, \eta) = \frac{1}{f(u + z_n)} \mathcal{L}_{ij}^{(n)}(-u - z_n, -\eta), \tag{3.3}$$

are the 3×3 matrices (obtained from the identification $\mathcal{L}_{aq}(u) = \mathcal{R}_{aq}(u)$) acting on the nth site of the lattice.

The double-row monodromy matrix $U(u)$ can be written as a 3×3 matrix

$$U(u|z) = T(u|z) K^{-}(u) T^{-1}(-u|z) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} U_{11}(u|z) & U_{12}(u|z) & U_{13}(u|z) \\ U_{21}(u|z) & U_{22}(u|z) & U_{23}(u|z) \\ U_{31}(u|z) & U_{32}(u|z) & U_{33}(u|z) \end{array} \right) \tag{3.4}$$

and it is more convenient to introduce new operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_1(u|z) &= U_{11}(u|z), \\
\mathcal{D}_2(u|z) &= U_{22}(u|z) - f_1(u)\mathcal{D}_1(u|z), \\
\mathcal{D}_3(u|z) &= U_{33}(u|z) - f_2(u)\mathcal{D}_1(u|z) - f_3(u)\mathcal{D}_2(u|z), \\
\mathcal{B}_i(u|z) &= U_{ij}(u|z)\ (i<j), \qquad \mathcal{C}_i(u|z) = U_{ij}(u|z)\ (i>j), \qquad i,j=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

The usual reference state is

$$
|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \overset{s}{\otimes} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \overset{s}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{s}{\otimes} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.6}
$$

which is a highest vector of $\mathcal{U}(u)$

$$
\mathcal{U}(u)\,|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}_1(u|z)\,|0\rangle & * & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{X}_2(u|z)\,|0\rangle & * \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{X}_3(u|z)\,|0\rangle \end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.7}
$$

where the symbol $(*)$ denotes states different from 0 and $|0\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_1(u|z) &= k_{11}^{-}(u) \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{x_1(u-z_a)}{x_2(u-z_a)} \frac{x_1(u+z_a)}{x_2(u+z_a)} \frac{1}{f(u+z_a)}, \\
\mathcal{X}_2(u|z) &= \left[k_{22}^{-}(u) - k_{11}^{-}(u) f_1(u)\right] \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{f(u+z_a)}, \\
\mathcal{X}_3(u|z) &= \left[k_{33}^{-}(u) - k_{22}^{-}(u) f_3(u) - k_{11}^{-}(u) f_4(u)\right] \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{x_3(u-z_a)}{x_2(u-z_a)} \frac{x_3(u+z_a)}{x_2(u+z_a)} \frac{1}{f(u+z_a)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1(u) &= \frac{y_5(2u)}{x_1(2u)}, \qquad f_3(u) = -\frac{x_1(2u)y_5(2u) - x_5(2u)y_7(2u)}{x_1(2u)x_4(2u) + x_5(2u)y_5(2u)}, \\
f_2(u) &= \frac{y_7(2u)}{x_1(2u)}, \qquad f_4(u) = \frac{x_4(2u)y_7(2u) + y_5^2(2u)}{x_1(2u)x_4(2u) + x_5(2u)y_5(2u)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

Using the $K^{+}(u)$ reflection matrices (2.21) and the BFB grading, the double-row transfer matrix (2.11) has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(u|z) &= k_{11}^{+}(u)U_{11}(u|z) - k_{22}^{+}(u)U_{22}(u|z) + k_{33}^{+}(u)U_{33}(u|z) \\
&= \Omega_1(u)\mathcal{D}_1(u|z) + \Omega_2(u)\mathcal{D}_2(u|z) + \Omega_3(u)\mathcal{D}_3(u|z)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.10}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1(u) &= k_{11}^{+}(u) - f_1(u)k_{22}^{+}(u) + f_2(u)k_{33}^{+}(u), \\
\Omega_2(u) &= -k_{22}^{+}(u) + f_3(u)k_{33}^{+}(u), \\
\Omega_3(u) &= k_{33}^{+}(u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

The monodromy matrix $\mathcal{U}(u)$ satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u-v)\mathcal{U}_1(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u+v)\mathcal{U}_2(v) = \mathcal{U}_2(v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u+v)\mathcal{U}_1(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u-v) \tag{3.12}$$

from which we obtain the commutation relations for its entries. The commutation relations which participate effectively in the Bethe ansatz construction are presented in the appendix A.

The n-particle state is defined as an operator valued function through the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_n(u,\ldots,u_n|z) &= \mathcal{B}_1(u_1|z)\Psi_{n-1}(u_2,\ldots,u_n|z) \\
&\quad +\mathcal{B}_2(u_1|z)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\mathcal{X}_1(u_i|z)\digamma_1^{(i)}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)\Psi_{n-2}(u_2,\ldots,\overset{\vee}{u}_i,\ldots,u_n|z) \\
&\quad +\mathcal{B}_2(u_1|z)\sum_{i=2}^{n}\mathcal{X}_2(u_i|z)\digamma_2^{(i)}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)\Psi_{n-2}(u_2,\ldots,\overset{\vee}{u}_i,\ldots,u_n|z)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

with $\Psi_0 = |0\rangle$ and $\Psi_1(u_1) = \mathcal{B}(u_1|z)\,|0\rangle$. Here $\overset{\vee}{u}_i$ denotes that the rapidity u_i is absent.

It was shown in [42] that this operator is normal ordered satisfying $n-1$ exchange conditions

$$\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_i,u_{i+1},\ldots,u_n|z) = \omega(u_i,u_{i+1})\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_{i+1},u_i,\ldots,u_n|z) \tag{3.14}$$

provided the functions $\digamma_\alpha^{(i)}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)$ are given by

$$\digamma_\alpha^{(i)}(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \prod_{j=2}^{i-1}\omega(u_j,u_i)\prod_{k=2,k\neq i}^{n}a_{\alpha 1}(u_i,u_k)G_{d_\alpha}(u_1,u_i), \qquad (\alpha=1,2) \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(u,v) = -\frac{x_3(u-v)x_4(u-v)-x_6(u-v)y_6(u-v)}{x_1(u-v)x_3(u-v)} \\
&\omega(u_2,v)\omega(u,v) = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$G_{d_1}(u,v) = \frac{x_6(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)}\frac{x_2(2v)}{x_1(2v)}, \quad G_{d_2}(u,v) = -\frac{x_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} \tag{3.17}$$

Using the commutation relations listed in the appendix A, one can find the action of the operators $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(u|z)$, $a=1,2,3$, on the n-particle state. In order to do so we can start with the one-particle state, then the two-particle state and so on. This procedure is very extensive and tedious but the final result can be presented in a compact form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\alpha(u|z)\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n|z) \quad = \quad & \mathcal{X}_\alpha(u|z)\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{\alpha 1}(u,u_i)\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n|z) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\omega(u_j,u_i)\left(\mathcal{X}_1(u_i|z)a_{\alpha 2}(u,u_i)\prod_{k\neq i}^{n} a_{11}(u_i,u_k)\right. \\
& \left. + \ \mathcal{X}_2(u_i|z)a_{\alpha 3}(u,u_i)\prod_{k\neq i}^{n} a_{21}(u_i,u_k)\right)\mathcal{B}_1(u|z)\Psi_{n-1}(\overset{\vee}{u_i}|z) \\
& +(1-\delta_{\alpha,1})\sum_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\omega(u_j,u_i)\left(\mathcal{X}_1(u_i|z)a_{\alpha 4}(u,u_i)\prod_{k\neq i}^{n} a_{11}(u_i,u_k)\right. \\
& \left. + \ \mathcal{X}_2(u_i|z)a_{\alpha 5}(u,u_i)\prod_{k\neq i}^{n} a_{21}(u_i,u_k)\right)\mathcal{B}_3(u|z)\Psi_{n-1}(\overset{\vee}{u_i}|z) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\left\{\mathcal{X}_1(u_i|z)\mathcal{X}_1(u_j|z)\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n} a_{11}(u_i,u_k)\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n} a_{11}(u_j,u_l)H_{\alpha 1}(u_i,u_j)\right. \\
& +\mathcal{X}_2(u_i|z)\mathcal{X}_1(u_j|z)\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n} a_{21}(u_i,u_k)\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n} a_{11}(u_j,u_l)H_{\alpha 2}(u_i,u_j) \\
& +\mathcal{X}_1(u_i|z)\mathcal{X}_2(u_j|z)\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n} a_{11}(u_i,u_k)\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n} a_{21}(u_j,u_l)H_{\alpha 3}(u_i,u_j) \\
& \left. + \ \mathcal{X}_2(u_i|z)\mathcal{X}_2(u_j|z)\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n} a_{21}(u_i,u_k)\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n} a_{21}(u_j,u_l)H_{\alpha 4}(u_i,u_j)\right\} \\
& \times\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\omega(u_k,u_i)\prod_{l=1\neq i}^{j-1}\omega(u_l,u_j)\mathcal{B}_2(u|z)\Psi_{n-2}(\overset{\vee}{u_i},\overset{\vee}{u_j}|z)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The action of the transfer matrix $t(u|z)$ on this n-particle state defines the so-called off-shell Bethe ansatz equation [18]. In our case it has the following form

$$t(u|z)\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n|z) = \Lambda_n\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n|z) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathcal{F}_j^{(n-1)}\Psi_{(n-1)}^{j} + \sum_{j=2}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\mathcal{F}_{lj}^{(n-2)}\Psi_{(n-2)}^{lj} \tag{3.19}$$

Let us explain a bit more the right hand side terms of this equation: In the first term the Bethe vectors (3.13) are multiplied by c-numbers $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_n(u,u_1,...,u_n|z)$ given by

$$\Lambda_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\Omega_\alpha(u)\mathcal{X}_\alpha(u|z)\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{\alpha 1}(u,u_k). \tag{3.20}$$

The second term is a sum of new operator valued functions

$$\Psi_{(n-1)}^{j} = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1}\omega(u_k,u_j)\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\Omega_\alpha(u)a_{\alpha 2}(u,u_j)\mathcal{B}_1(u|z) + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\Omega_\alpha(u)a_{\alpha 4}(u,u_j)\mathcal{B}_3(u|z)\right)\Psi_{(n-1)}(\overset{\vee}{u_j}) \tag{3.21}$$

multiplied by scalar functions

$$\mathcal{F}_j^{(n-1)} = \mathcal{X}_1(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq j}^{n} a_{11}(u_j, u_k) + \Theta(u_j) \mathcal{X}_2(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq j}^{n} a_{21}(u_j, u_k) \tag{3.22}$$

where we have defined

$$\Theta(u_j) = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) a_{\alpha 3}(u, u_j)}{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) a_{\alpha 2}(u, u_j)} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) a_{\alpha 5}(u, u_j)}{\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) a_{\alpha 4}(u, u_j)}. \tag{3.23}$$

Finally, the last term is a coupled sum of a third type of operator valued functions

$$\Psi_{(n-2)}^{lj} = \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \omega(u_k, u_l) \prod_{k=1,\neq l}^{j-1} \omega(u_k, u_j) \mathcal{B}_2(u|z) \Psi_{(n-2)}(\overset{\vee}{u}_l, \overset{\vee}{u}_j) \tag{3.24}$$

with intricate coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{lj}^{(n-2)} \quad = \quad & \mathcal{X}_1(u_l|z) \mathcal{X}_1(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq l}^{n} a_{11}(u_l, u_k) \prod_{k\neq ,j}^{n} a_{11}(u_j, u_k) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) H_{\alpha 1}(u_l, u_j) \\ & + \mathcal{X}_2(u_l|z) \mathcal{X}_1(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq l}^{n} a_{21}(u_l, u_k) \prod_{k\neq j}^{n} a_{11}(u_j, u_k) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) H_{\alpha 2}(u_l, u_j) \\ & + \mathcal{X}_1(u_l|z) \mathcal{X}_2(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq l}^{n} a_{11}(u_l, u_k) \prod_{k\neq j}^{n} a_{21}(u_j, u_k) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) H_{\alpha 3}(u_l, u_j) \\ & + \mathcal{X}_2(u_l|z) \mathcal{X}_2(u_j|z) \prod_{k\neq l}^{n} a_{21}(u_l, u_k) \prod_{k\neq j}^{n} a_{21}(u_j, u_k) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \Omega_\alpha(u) H_{\alpha 4}(u_l, u_j) \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

The functions $a_{ij}(u, v)$ are amplitudes which came from the commutation relations (appendix A), while $H_{\alpha j}(u_p, u_q)$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3,\ j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are cumbersome functions of this amplitudes which we have left to the appendix B.

In the usual algebraic Bethe ansatz method, the next step consist in impose the vanishing of these unwanted terms in order to get the eigenvalue problem for the inhomogeneous transfer matrix $t(u|z)$: We impose $\mathcal{F}_i^{(n-1)} = 0$, which implies $\mathcal{F}_{lj}^{(n-2)} = 0$. From these elimination conditions we can see that $\Psi_n(u_1, \dots, u_n|z)$ is an eigenstate of $t(u|z)$ with eigenvalue (3.20) provided that the rapidities u_k are solutions of the inhomogeneous Bethe equations

$$\frac{\mathcal{X}_1(u_k|z)}{\mathcal{X}_2(u_k|z)} = -\Theta(u_k) \prod_{j=1,j\neq k}^{n} \frac{a_{21}(u_k, u_j)}{a_{11}(u_k, u_j)}, \qquad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n) \tag{3.26}$$

where the factors $\Theta(u_k)$ are easily identified with the phase shifts at the boundaries.

4 The Gaudin Hamiltonians

We recall that the $osp(1|2)$ has three even (bosonic) generators H, $X^{\pm}$ and two odd (fermionic) generators $V^{\pm}$, whose non-vanishing commutation relations in the Cartan-Weyl basis reads as

$$\begin{aligned} [H, X^{\pm}] &= \pm X^{\pm}, \quad [X^{+}, X^{-}] = 2H, \\ [H, V^{\pm}] &= \pm\frac{1}{2}V^{\pm}, \quad [X^{\pm}, V^{\mp}] = V^{\pm}, \quad [X^{\pm}, V^{\pm}] = 0, \\ \{V^{\pm}, V^{\pm}\} &= \pm\frac{1}{2}X^{\pm}, \quad \{V^{+}, V^{-}\} = -\frac{1}{2}H. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The quadratic Casimir operator is

$$C_2 = H^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{X^{+}, X^{-}\} + [V^{+}, V^{-}], \tag{4.2}$$

where $\{\cdot\,,\cdot\}$ denotes the anticommutator and $[\cdot\,,\cdot]$ the commutator.

The irreducible finite-dimensional representations ρ_j with the highest weight vector are parametrized by half-integer $s = j/2$ or by the integer $j \in N$. Their dimension is $\dim(\rho_j) = 2j+1$ and the corresponding eigenvalue of the quadratic Casimir is $j(j+1)/4 = s(s+1/2)$.

The fundamental representation has $s = 1/2$ and its matrix realization in the BFB grading is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ V^{+} &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^{-} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In this section we will consider the theory of the Gaudin model with boundary terms. To do this we need to calculate the quasi-classical limit of the results presented in the previous section.

We can begin expanding (up to an appropriate order in η), the diagonal Lax operator entries of the monodromy matrix $T(u|z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{11}^{(n)} &= \mathcal{I}_n + 2\eta\ \coth(u - z_n)\mathcal{H}_n + 2\eta^2\left(\mathcal{H}_n^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\mathcal{H}_n^2 - \mathcal{H}_n}{\sinh(u - z_n)^2}\right) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3) \\ \mathcal{L}_{22}^{(n)} &= \mathcal{I}_n - 2\eta^2\frac{3\mathcal{I}_n - 3\mathcal{H}_n^2}{\sinh(u - z_n)^2} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3) \\ \mathcal{L}_{33}^{(n)} &= \mathcal{I}_n - 2\eta\ \coth(u - z_n)\mathcal{H}_n + 2\eta^2\left(\mathcal{H}_n^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\mathcal{H}_n^2 + \mathcal{H}_n}{\sinh(u - z_n)^2}\right) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For the elements out of the diagonal we have considered a different order in η

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(n)} &= -2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{V}_n^- + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{21}^{(n)} = 2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{V}_n^+ + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2),\\
\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(n)} &= 2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{V}_n^- + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{32}^{(n)} = 2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{V}_n^+ + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2),\\
\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(n)} &= 2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{X}_n^- + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{31}^{(n)} = 2\eta\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_n}}{\sinh(u-z_n)}\mathcal{X}_n^+ + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathcal{V}^\pm = 2V^\pm$, $\mathcal{X}^\pm = 2X^\pm$ and $\mathcal{H} = 2H$.

The corresponding expressions for the reflected monodromy matrix $T^{-1}(-u|z)$ can be read from (4.4) and (4.5) by the substitution $\eta \to -\eta$ and $u \to -u$. For sake of simplicity we can remove the global factor by considering its expansion as $\prod(1/f) \approx 1 + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2)$ instead of a normalization.

The quasi-classical expansions for the entries of the K matrices (2.18)-(2.22) will be written in the form

$$k_{\alpha\alpha}^{\pm}(u) = k_{\alpha\alpha}^{\pm(0)}(u) + \eta k_{\alpha\alpha}^{\pm(1)}(u) + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 k_{\alpha\alpha}^{\pm(2)}(u) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3), \qquad (\alpha = 1,2,3). \tag{4.6}$$

Substituting these expansions into the matrix operator $V = K^+(u)U(u|z)$ we will get a quasi-classical expansion for its diagonal entries:

$$V_{\alpha\alpha}(u|z) = 1 + 2\eta V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}(u|z) + 4\eta^2 V_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3) \tag{4.7}$$

where the first order terms are very simple

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{11}^{(1)}(u|z) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[k_{11}^{+(1)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) + k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(1)}(u)\right]\\
&\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a + \sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b,\\
V_{22}^{(1)}(u|z) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[k_{22}^{+(1)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) + k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(1)}(u)\right],\\
V_{33}^{(1)}(u|z) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[k_{33}^{+(1)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) + k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(1)}(u)\right]\\
&\quad - \sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a - \sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and the second order terms are very complicated

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{11}^{(2)}(u|z) \;=\;& \frac{1}{8}\left[k_{11}^{+(2)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)+k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(2)}(u)\right]+\frac{1}{4}k_{11}^{+(1)}(u)k_{11}^{-(1)}(u)\\
&+\sum_{a<b}\left\{\coth(u-z_a)\coth(u-z_b)\mathcal{H}_a\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_b+\coth(u+z_b)\coth(u+z_a)\mathcal{H}_b\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_a\right\}\\
&+\sum_{a<b}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\frac{\mathcal{X}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^+-\mathcal{V}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^+}{\sinh(u-z_a)\sinh(u-z_b)}+\mathrm{e}^{z_b-z_a}\frac{\mathcal{X}_b^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_a^+-\mathcal{V}_b^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_a^+}{\sinh(u+z_b)\sinh(u+z_a)}\right\}\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_a^2+\frac{3}{4}\frac{\mathcal{H}_a^2-\mathcal{H}_a}{\sinh(u-z_a)^2}\right)+\sum_{b=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_b^2+\frac{3}{4}\frac{\mathcal{H}_b^2-\mathcal{H}_b}{\sinh(u+z_b)^2}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left[k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(1)}(u)+k_{11}^{+(1)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\right]\left\{\sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a+\sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b\right\}\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a\sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b\\
&-k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}\mathcal{V}_a^-}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_b}\mathcal{V}_b^+}{\sinh(u+z_b)}\\
&+k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}\mathcal{X}_a^-}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_b}\mathcal{X}_b^+}{\sinh(u+z_b)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{22}^{(2)}(u|z) \;=\;& \frac{1}{8}\left[k_{22}^{+(2)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(2)}(u)\right]+\frac{1}{4}k_{22}^{+(1)}(u)k_{22}^{-(1)}(u)\\
&-\sum_{a<b}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^--\mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^+}{\sinh(u-z_a)\sinh(u-z_b)}-\frac{3}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathcal{I}_a-\mathcal{H}_a^2}{\sinh(u-z_a)^2}\\
&-\sum_{a<b}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-z_b+z_a}\mathcal{V}_b^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_a^--\mathrm{e}^{z_b-z_a}\mathcal{V}_b^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_a^+}{\sinh(u+z_b)\sinh(u+z_b)}-\frac{3}{2}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathcal{I}_b-\mathcal{H}_b^2}{\sinh(u+z_b)^2}\\
&-k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}\mathcal{V}_a^+}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u+z_b}\mathcal{V}_b^-}{\sinh(u+z_b)}\\
&+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}\mathcal{V}_a^-}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_b}\mathcal{V}_b^+}{\sinh(u+z_b)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{33}^{(2)}(u|z) \;=\;& \frac{1}{8}\left[k_{33}^{+(2)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)+k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(2)}(u)\right]+\frac{1}{4}k_{33}^{+(1)}(u)k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) \\
&+\sum_{a<b}\left\{\coth(u-z_a)\coth(u-z_b)\mathcal{H}_a\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_b+\coth(u+z_b)\coth(u+z_a)\mathcal{H}_b\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_a\right\} \\
&+\sum_{a<b}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\frac{\mathcal{X}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^-+\mathcal{V}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^-}{\sinh(u-z_a)\sinh(u-z_b)}+\mathrm{e}^{-z_b+z_a}\frac{\mathcal{X}_b^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_a^-+\mathcal{V}_b^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_a^-}{\sinh(u+z_b)\sinh(u+z_a)}\right\} \\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_a^2+\frac{3}{4}\frac{\mathcal{H}_a^2+\mathcal{H}_a}{\sinh(u-z_a)^2}\right)+\sum_{b=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_b^2+\frac{3}{4}\frac{\mathcal{H}_b^2+\mathcal{H}_b}{\sinh(u+z_b)^2}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(1)}(u)+k_{33}^{+(1)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\right]\left\{\sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a+\sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b\right\} \\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{N}\coth(u-z_a)\mathcal{H}_a\sum_{b=1}^{N}\coth(u+z_b)\mathcal{H}_b \\
&+k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}\mathcal{V}_a^+}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u+z_b}\mathcal{V}_b^-}{\sinh(u+z_b)} \\
&+k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\sum_{a=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}\mathcal{X}_a^+}{\sinh(u-z_a)}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u+z_b}\mathcal{X}_b^-}{\sinh(u+z_b)}. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the identities $k_{\alpha\alpha}^{+(0)}(u)k_{\alpha\alpha}^{-(0)}(u)=1,\ \alpha=1,2,3.$, which hold for the three boundary solution due to the isomorphism (2.8).

Now, the quasi-classical expansion for the double-row transfer matrix (2.11) has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(u|z) &= V_{11}(u|z)-V_{22}(u|z)+V_{33}(u|z) \\
&= 1+8\eta^2\tau^{(2)}(u|z)+\mathrm{o}(\eta^3). \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we notice that the first order terms in η are canceled.

The residue procedure of $\tau^{(2)}(u|z)$ at the point $u=z_a$ results in the following generalized Gaudin Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_a \;=\;& \frac{1}{4}\Big(k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(1)}(z_a)+k_{11}^{+(1)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(0)}(z_a)-k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(1)}(z_a)-k_{33}^{+(1)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a)\Big)\mathcal{H}_a \\
&+\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\sinh(z_a-z_b)}\Big\{\cosh(z_a-z_b)\mathcal{H}_a\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_b+\frac{1}{2}\;(\mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^+ +\mathrm{e}^{z_b-z_a}\mathcal{X}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^-) \\
&+\;\mathrm{e}^{z_b-z_a}\mathcal{V}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^- -\mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^+\Big\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{b=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\sinh(z_a+z_b)}\Big\{\Big(k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(0)}(z_a)+k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a)\Big)\cosh(z_a+z_b)\mathcal{H}_a\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_b \\
&+k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a)\;\mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^+ +k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(0)}(z_a)\;\mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{X}_b^- \\
&-\Big(k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{22}^{-(0)}(z_a)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a)\Big)\;\mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^-\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^+ \\
&+\Big(k_{22}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(0)}(z_a)+k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{22}^{-(0)}(z_a)\Big)\;\mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^+\overset{s}{\otimes}\mathcal{V}_b^-\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

which satisfy the following integrable conditions

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{N}G_a=0,\qquad \frac{\partial G_a}{\partial z_b}=\frac{\partial G_b}{\partial z_a},\qquad [G_a,G_b]=0,\qquad \forall a,b. \tag{4.14}
$$

It means that we have derived $N-1$ independents non-local integrable Hamiltonians for each of the three boundary solutions $(1,M)$, (F^-,G^-) and (F^+,G^+).

Let us look at the right hand side term of (4.13): The first term represents the diagonal boundary term, the first sum is nothing else but the sum of classical r-matrices $\sum_{b\neq a} r_{ab}(z_z-z_b)$, as it could be expected and the second sum is also a sum of classical r matrices but with the boundary effects. This difference emerges due to the breaking the symmetry of the double-row structure when we choose the point of a particular row to take the residue. Therefore, we have the following generalized form

$$
G_a=(\mathrm{b.t.})_a+\sum_{b\neq a} r_{ab}(z_z-z_b)+\sum_{b\neq a} r'_{ab}(z_z+z_b) \tag{4.15}
$$

where $(\mathrm{b.t.})_a$ is the boundary term at the site a (with residue at $u=z_a$), $r_{ab}(z_z-z_b)$ is the "bare" classical r-matrix and $r'_{ab}(z_z+z_b)$ is the classical r-matrices "dressed " with the boundaries.

In the next section we will use the data of the boundary algebraic Bethe ansatz in order to find the exact spectrum and eigenvectors for these Hamiltonians.

Next, let us write the entries of the monodromy matrix $\mathcal{U}(u|z)$, up to their classical contribution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1(u|z) &= -2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u+z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{V}_a^{-} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq -2\eta \mathcal{V}^{-}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \\
\mathcal{B}_2(u|z) &= 2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u+z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{X}_a^{-} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq 2\eta \mathcal{X}^{-}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \\
\mathcal{B}_3(u|z) &= 2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u+z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u+z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{V}_a^{-} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq 2\eta k_{11}^{+(0)}(u) \mathcal{V}^{-}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for the creation operators and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1(u|z) &= 2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{V}_a^{+} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq 2\eta \mathcal{V}^{+}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \\
\mathcal{C}_2(u|z) &= 2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{X}_a^{+} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq 2\eta \mathcal{X}^{+}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \\
\mathcal{C}_3(u|z) &= 2\eta \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{u-z_a}}{\sinh(u-z_a)} + \frac{k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\mathrm{e}^{-u-z_a}}{\sinh(u+z_a)} \right) \mathcal{V}_a^{+} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \doteq 2\eta k_{11}^{+(0)}(u) \mathcal{V}^{+}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

for the annihilation operators. For the diagonal entries of $\mathcal{U}(u|z)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_1(u|z) &= k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) + 2\eta \mathcal{H}(u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \\
\mathcal{D}_2(u|z) &= k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) + \eta\ k_{22}^{-(1)}(u) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2), \\
\mathcal{D}_3(u|z) &= k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) + 2\eta \mathcal{H}(-u|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(u|z) = k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) \sum_{a=1}^{N} (\cosh(u-z_a) + \cosh(u+z_a)\mathcal{H}_a + \frac{1}{2} k_{11}^{-(1)}(u). \tag{4.19}$$

Here we have used the relations $k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) = k_{11}^{-(0)}(-u)$, $k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) = k_{22}^{-(0)}(-u)$ and $k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) = -k_{11}^{-(1)}(-u)$.

Note that with these expression we are defining the generators for a "double" $osp(1|2)$ Lie superalgebra. The defining relations of this algebra can be obtained from the quasi-classical limit of (3.12) or, equivalently, from the quasi-classical limit of the commutation relations of the appendix A.

5 The off-shell Gaudin equation

The off-shell Gaudin equation is defined from the residue of the quasi-classical limit of the off-shell Bethe ansatz equation (3.19). In this limit we first consider the quasi-classical expansions of the states (3.13), (3.21) and (3.24) in terms of the operators (4.16)

$$\Psi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) = (-2\eta)^n \Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^{n+1}), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^j_{n-1} \quad &= \quad 2(-2\eta)^{n+1}(-1)^j \left\{ \frac{1}{2}[k^{+(0)}_{11}(u) + k^{+(0)}_{11}(u)k^{+(0)}_{22}(u)] \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+u_j}}{\sinh(u-u_j)} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2}[k^{+(0)}_{22}(u) + k^{+(0)}_{11}(u)k^{+(0)}_{33}(u)] \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u+u_j}}{\sinh(u+u_j)} \right\} \mathcal{V}^-(u|z)\Phi_{n-1}(\overset{\vee}{u}_j|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^{n+2})\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\Psi^{lj}_{n-2} = (-2\eta)^{n-1}(-1)^{l+j}\mathcal{X}^-(u|z)\Phi_{n-2}(\overset{\vee}{u}_l, \overset{\vee}{u}_j|z) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^n) \tag{5.3}$$

where the quasi-classical Bethe state $\Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z)$ is given by the recurrence formula

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) \quad &= \quad \mathcal{V}^-(u_1|z)\Phi_{n-1}(u_2,...,u_n|z) \\ &-\mathcal{X}^-(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1+u_j}}{\sinh(u_1-u_j)} k^{-(0)}_{11}(u_j)\Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z) \\ &+\mathcal{X}^-(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1-u_j}}{\sinh(u_1+u_j)} k^{-(0)}_{22}(u_j)\Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z)\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

with $\Phi_0 = |0\rangle$ and $\Phi_1(u_1|z) = \mathcal{V}^-(u_1|z)\Phi_0$.

Next we consider the quasi-classical expansions of the c-numbers functions (3.20), (3.22) and (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_n \quad &= \quad 1 + 2(-2\eta)^2\Lambda^{(2)}_n + \mathrm{o}(\eta^3) \\ \mathcal{F}^{(n-1)}_j \quad &= \quad (-2\eta)k^{-(0)}_{11}(u_j) \quad f_j + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2) \\ \mathcal{F}^{(n-2)}_{lj} \quad &= \quad 2(-2\eta)^3 \quad f_{lj} + \mathrm{o}(\eta^4),\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_n^{(2)} &= N + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{8}\left(k_{11}^{+(1)}(u)k_{11}^{-(1)}(u) - k_{22}^{+(1)}(u)k_{22}^{-(1)}(u) + k_{33}^{+(1)}(u)k_{33}^{-(1)}(u)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{16}\left\{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(2)}(u) - k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(2)}(u) + k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(2)}(u)\right. \\
&\left.+\, k_{11}^{+(2)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) - k_{22}^{+(2)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) + k_{33}^{+(2)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\right\} \\
&+ \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(4k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) - k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) - k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{-(0)}(u)\right)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2u}}{\sinh(2u)}\right. \\
&\left.+\, \frac{1}{4}\left(k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{11}^{-(1)}(u) + k_{11}^{+(1)}(u)k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) - k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) - k_{33}^{+(1)}(u)k_{33}^{-(0)}(u)\right)\right\} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N}[\coth(u-z_a) + \coth(u+z_a)] - \sum_{j=1}^{n}[\coth(u-u_j) + \coth(u+u_j)]\right) \\
&+ \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(\coth(u-z_a)\coth(u+z_a) + \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{\sinh(u-z_a)^2} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{\sinh(u+z_a)^2}\right) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\coth(u-u_j)\coth(u+u_j) + \frac{1}{4}\coth(u-u_j)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\coth(u+u_j)^2\right) \\
&+ \sum_{a<b=1}^{N}(\coth(u-z_a)\coth(u+z_a))(\coth(u-z_b)\coth(u+z_b)) \\
&+ \sum_{i<j=1}^{n}(\coth(u-u_i)\coth(u+u_i))(\coth(u-u_j)\coth(u+u_j)) \\
&- \sum_{a=1}^{N}(\coth(u-z_a) + \coth(u+z_a))\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\coth(u-u_j) + \coth(u+u_j)) \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_j &= -\sum_{a=1}^{N}(\coth(u_j-z_a) + \coth(u_j+z_a)) + \sum_{k\neq j}^{n}(\coth(u_j-u_k) + \coth(u_j+u_k)) \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k_{11}^{-(1)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)} + \theta^{(1)}(u_j)\frac{k_{22}^{-(0)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)}\right) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2u_j}}{\sinh(2u_j)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{k_{22}^{-(1)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)}\right)\theta^{(0)}(u_j) \qquad (5.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in these relations we have expanded (3.23) as $\theta(u) = \theta^{(0)}(u) + \eta\theta^{(1)}(u) + \mathrm{o}(\eta^2)$ and used the identity $k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j) + k_{22}^{-(0)}(u_j)\theta^{(0)}(u_j) = 0$ which holds for the three cases.

The contribution of $\mathcal{F}_{lj}^{(n-2)}$ involves the expansions of the complicate functions $H_{\alpha j}$ presented in the appendix B. After some algebraic manipulations we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{lj} &= -\frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(u_j+u_l)\sinh(u_l-u_j)}\left\{\frac{\sinh(2u_j)}{\sinh(u-u_l)\sinh(u+u_l)}\frac{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_l) + k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_l)}{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_j) + k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_j)}[k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_l)f_l]\right. \\
&\left.+\, \frac{\sinh(2u_l)}{\sinh(u-u_j)\sinh(u+u_j)}\frac{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_j) + k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_l) + k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_l)}[k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)f_j]\right\} \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these expressions into the (3.19) and comparing the coefficients of the terms $2(-2\eta)^{n+2}$ we get the first non-trivial consequence for the quasi-classical limit of the OSBAE:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{(2)}(u|z)\ \Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) &= \Lambda_n^{(2)}\ \Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j-u}}{\sinh(u-u_j)}\ [k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)\ f_j]\Theta_1^j \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\ (-1)^j\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j+u}}{\sinh(u+u_j)}[k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)\ f_j]\Theta_2^j. \end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Here we have used the identity

$$\frac{\sinh(2v)}{\sinh(u-v)\sinh(u+v)}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u+v}}{\sinh(u-v)}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u-v}}{\sinh(u+v)}\tag{5.10}$$

Note that in (5.9) the contributions from $\Psi_{(n-1)}^{j}$ and $\Psi_{(n-2)}^{lj}$ were combined in order to define two new vector valued functions

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1^j &= \frac{1}{2}[k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)+k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)]\mathcal{V}^-(u|z)\ \Phi_{n-1}(\ \hat{u}_j|z) \\ &-\mathcal{X}^-(u|z)\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^{n}(-1)^{k'}(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j-u_k}}{\sinh(u_k-u_j)}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j+u_k}}{\sinh(u_k+u_j)})\frac{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_j)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_k)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_k)}\ \Phi_{n-2}(\ \hat{u}_j,\hat{u}_k|z)\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_2^j &= \frac{1}{2}[k_{22}^{+(0)}(u)+k_{11}^{+(0)}(u)k_{33}^{+(0)}(u)]\mathcal{V}^-(u|z)\ \Phi_{n-1}(\ \hat{u}_j|z) \\ &-\mathcal{X}^-(u|z)\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^{n}(-1)^{k'}(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j-u_k}}{\sinh(u_k-u_j)}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j+u_k}}{\sinh(u_k+u_j)})\frac{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_j)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_j)}{k_{11}^{+(0)}(u_k)+k_{22}^{+(0)}(u_k)}\ \Phi_{n-2}(\ \hat{u}_j,\hat{u}_k|z)\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

where $k^{'}=k+1$ for $k<j$ and $k^{'}=k$ for $k>j$.

Finally, we have the off-shell Gaudin equation taking the residue of (3.19) at the point $u=z_a$:

$$\begin{aligned}G_a\Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) &= g_a\Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j-z_a}}{\sinh(z_a-u_j)}[\ k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)\ f_j]\phi_1^j \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\ (-1)^j\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_j+z_a}}{\sinh(z_a+u_j)}[\ k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j)\ f_j]\phi_2^j, \\ a &= 1,2,...,N\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

where g_a is the residue of $\Lambda_n^{(2)}$ at the point $u = z_a$

$$\begin{aligned} g_a \quad = \quad & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(4k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a) - k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{22}^{-(0)}(z_a) - k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{22}^{-(0)}(z_a) \right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2z_a}}{\sinh(2z_a)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(k_{11}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(1)}(z_a) + k_{11}^{+(1)}(z_a)k_{11}^{-(0)}(z_a) - k_{33}^{+(0)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(1)}(z_a) - k_{33}^{+(1)}(z_a)k_{33}^{-(0)}(z_a) \right) \right\} \\ & + \coth(2z_a) + \sum_{b \neq a}^{N} (\coth(z_a - z_b)\coth(z_a + z_b)) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\coth(z_a - u_j) + \coth(z_a + u_j)), \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

The new functions $\phi_{1(2)}^{l}$ are given by $\phi_{1(2)}^{j} = \mathrm{res}_{u=z_a} \Theta_{1(2)}^{j}$.

In this way we are arriving to the main result of this paper. The equation (5.13) governs the Gaudin theory: From its reduction to an eigenvalue problem we can find the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors for $N - 1$ commuting Hamiltonians G_a (4.13). It means that g_a is the eigenvalue of G_a with eigenfunction $\Phi_n(u_1, ..., u_n)$ provided u_l are solutions of the equations $f_j = 0$. Moreover, as we will see in the next section, the off-shell Gaudin equation defines the conditions on the monodromy of the correlations functions in order to be solutions of the differential equations known as KZ equations.

6 The Knizhnik-Zamolodchickov equation

The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) differential equation

$$\kappa \frac{\partial \Psi(z)}{\partial z_i} = G_i(z)\Psi(z), \tag{6.1}$$

appeared first as a holonomic system of differential equations on conformal blocks in a WZW model of conformal field theory. Here $\Psi(z)$ is a function with values in the tensor product $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$ of representations of a simple Lie algebra, $\kappa = k + g$, where κ is the central charge of the model, and g is the dual Coxeter number of the simple Lie algebra.

One of the remarkable properties of the KZ system is that the coefficient functions $G_i(z)$ commute and that the form $\omega = \sum_i G_i(z)dz_i$ is closed [20]:

$$\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial z_i} = \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial z_j}, \qquad [G_i, G_j] = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Indeed, it was indicated in [20] that the equations (4.2) are not just a flatness condition for the form ω but that the KZ connection is actually a commutative family of connections.

In this section we will identify G_i with the bounded $osp(1|2)$ Gaudin Hamiltonians G_a presented in the previous section and show that the corresponding differential equations (6.1) can be solved via the boundary off-shell Bethe ansatz method.

Let us now define the vector-valued function $\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)$ through multiple contour integrals of the vectors (5.4)

$$\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N) = \oint \cdots \oint \mathcal{X}(u|z)\Phi_n(u|z)du_1...du_n, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathcal{X}\ (u|z) = \mathcal{X}\ (u_1, ..., u_n, z_1, ..., z_N)$ is a scalar function which in this stage is still undefined.

We assume that $\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)$ is a solution of the equations

$$\kappa \frac{\partial \Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)}{\partial z_a} = G_a \Psi(z_1, ..., z_N), \quad a = 1, 2, ..., N \tag{6.4}$$

where G_a are the Gaudin Hamiltonians (4.13) and κ is a constant.

Substituting (6.3) into (6.4) we have

$$\kappa \frac{\partial \Psi(z_1, ..., z_N)}{\partial z_a} = \oint \left\{ \kappa \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(u|z)}{\partial z_a} \Phi_n(u|z) + \kappa \mathcal{X}(u|z) \frac{\partial \Phi_n(u|z)}{\partial z_a} \right\} du, \tag{6.5}$$

where we are using a compact notation $\oint \{\circ\}\, du = \oint \ldots \oint \{\circ\}\ du_1 \cdots du_n$.

Using the quasi-classical limit of the commutation relations one can derive the following non-trivial identity

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial z_a} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} (-)^l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l} \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-u_l+z_a} \phi_1^l}{\sinh(u_l - z_a)} + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_l+z_a} \phi_2^l}{\sinh(u_l + z_a)} \right) \tag{6.6}$$

which allows us write (6.5) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z_a} \quad = \quad & \oint \left\{ \kappa \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(u|z)}{\partial z_a} \Phi_n(u|z) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} (-)^l \kappa \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(u|z)}{\partial u_l} [\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_l - z_a} \phi_1^l}{\sinh(z_a - u_l)} + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_l+z_a} \phi_2^l}{\sinh(z_a + u_l)}] \right\} du \\ & -\kappa \sum_{l=1}^{n} (-)^l \oint \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l} \left(\mathcal{X}(u|z) [\frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_l - z_a} \phi_1^l}{\sinh(z_a - u_l)} + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u_l+z_a} \phi_2^l}{\sinh(z_a + u_l)}] \right) du. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

It is evident that the last term of (6.7) is vanishes, because the contours are closed. Moreover, if the scalar function $\mathcal{X}(u|z)$ satisfies the following differential equations

$$\kappa \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(u|z)}{\partial z_a} = g_a \mathcal{X}(u|z), \qquad \kappa \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}(u|z)}{\partial u_j} = [k_{11}^{-(0)}(u_j) f_j] \mathcal{X}(u|z), \tag{6.8}$$

we are recovering the off-shell Gaudin equation (5.13) from the first term in (6.7).

Next we can solve (6.8) in order to find the function $\mathcal{X}(u|z)$ which determines the monodromy of (6.3) as solution of the trigonometric KZ equation.

Summarizing, let us consider the main results for the three boundary solutions $(1, M)$, (F^+, G^+) and (F^-, G^-)

6.1 The (1,M) Solution

The data for this case are

$$\begin{aligned} k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) &= k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) = k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{11}^{-(1)}(u) = k_{22}^{-(1)}(u) = k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) = 0 \\ k_{11}^{+(0)}(u) &= k_{22}^{+(0)}(u) = k_{33}^{+(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{33}^{+(1)}(u) = -k_{11}^{+(1)}(u) = 2,\ k_{22}^{+(1)}(u) = 0 \\ \theta^{(0)}(u) &= -1,\ \theta^{(1)}(u) = -2 \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2u}}{\sinh(2u)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Substituting (6.9) into (4.13), (5.4), (5.14) and (5.7) we have the following generalized Gaudin Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_a \;&=\; -\mathcal{H}_a + \sum_{b\neq a}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(z_a - z_b)} \left\{ \cosh(z_a - z_b)\mathcal{H}_a \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_b + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{-} \right.\right. \\
&\quad \left.\left. + \, \mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{+} \right) + \mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{-} - \mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{+} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{b=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(z_a + z_b)} \left\{ \cosh(z_a + z_b)\mathcal{H}_a \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_b + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{-} \right.\right. \\
&\quad \left.\left. + \, \mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{+} \right) + \mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{-} - \mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{+} \right\} \\
a \;&=\; 1, 2, ..., N. \qquad (6.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

with eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_n(u_1, ..., u_n|z) \;&=\; \mathcal{V}^{-}(u_1|z)\Phi_{n-1}(u_2, ..., u_n|z) \\
&\quad -\mathcal{X}^{-}(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1+u_j}}{\sinh(u_1 - u_j)} \Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z) \\
&\quad +\mathcal{X}^{-}(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1-u_j}}{\sinh(u_1 + u_j)} \Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z) \qquad (6.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

and eigenvalues

$$
g_a = 2\coth(2z_a) + \sum_{b\neq a=1}^{N} [\coth(z_a - z_b) + \coth(z_a + z_b)] - \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\coth(z_a - u_j) + \coth(z_a + u_j)] \qquad (6.12)
$$

provided that

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{N} [\coth(u_j - z_a) + \coth(u_j + z_a)] = \sum_{k\neq j=1}^{n} [\coth(u_j - u_k) + \coth(u_j + u_k)] \qquad (6.13)
$$

The corresponding KZ solution is

$$
\Psi(z_1, ..., z_N) = \oint \cdots \oint \mathcal{X}(u|z)\Phi_n(u|z) du_1 ... du_n, \qquad (6.14)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}(u|z) \;&=\; [\sinh(2z_a)]^{1/\kappa} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod_{b=a+1}^{N} [\sinh(z_a - z_b)\sinh(z_a + z_b)]^{1/\kappa} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} [\sinh(u_j - u_k)\sinh(u_j + u_k)]^{1/\kappa} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{n} [\sinh(z_a - u_j)\sinh(z_a + u_j)]^{-1/\kappa}
\end{aligned}
\qquad (6.15)
$$

is the solution of the equations (6.8).

Here we note that the K-matrix solution $(1, M)$ is a quantum-algebra invariant solution. Comparing (6.10) with (4.15) we can see that $r^{'} = r$. It means that there is no boundary contributions on the bulk [43].

6.2 The (F$^{\pm}$,G$^{\pm}$) Solutions

The data for the (F^{+}, G^{+}) solution are

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) &= -\mathrm{e}^{-2u},\ k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) = -\mathrm{e}^{2u} \\
k_{11}^{-(1)}(u) &= -3\mathrm{e}^{-2u}\coth u,\ k_{22}^{-(1)}(u) = 0,\ k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) = -3\mathrm{e}^{2u}\coth u \\
k_{11}^{+(0)}(u) &= -\mathrm{e}^{2u},\ k_{22}^{+(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{33}^{+(0)}(u) = -\mathrm{e}^{-2u} \\
k_{11}^{+(1)}(u) &= -4\mathrm{e}^{2u} + 3\mathrm{e}^{2u}\coth u,\ k_{22}^{+(1)}(u) = 0,\ k_{33}^{+(1)}(u) = 4\mathrm{e}^{-2u} + 3\mathrm{e}^{-2u}\coth u \\
\theta^{(0)}(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2u},\ \theta^{(1)}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{-2u}\tanh u
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

while for the (F^{-}, G^{-}) solution we have.

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{11}^{-(0)}(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2u},\ k_{22}^{-(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{33}^{-(0)}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{2u} \\
k_{11}^{-(1)}(u) &= 3\mathrm{e}^{-2u}\coth u,\ k_{22}^{-(1)}(u) = 0,\ k_{33}^{-(1)}(u) = 3\mathrm{e}^{2u}\coth u \\
k_{11}^{+(0)}(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{2u},\ k_{22}^{+(0)}(u) = 1,\ k_{33}^{+(0)}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{-2u} \\
k_{11}^{+(1)}(u) &= 4\mathrm{e}^{2u} - 3\mathrm{e}^{2u}\coth u,\ k_{22}^{+(1)}(u) = 0,\ k_{33}^{+(1)}(u) = -4\mathrm{e}^{-2u} - 3\mathrm{e}^{-2u}\coth u \\
\theta^{(0)}(u) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2u},\ \theta^{(1)}(u) = \mathrm{e}^{-2u}\tanh u
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

We will present the summary of these two cases in a compact notation.

Substituting (6.16) ((6.17)) into (4.13), (5.4), (5.14) and (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_a &= 2\mathcal{H}_a + \sum_{b=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(z_a + z_b)} \Big\{ \cosh(z_a + z_b)\mathcal{H}_a \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_b + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathrm{e}^{-4z_a}\mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{-} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{e}^{4z_a}\mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{+}\Big) + \epsilon\Big(\mathrm{e}^{-2z_a}\mathrm{e}^{z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{-} - \mathrm{e}^{2z_a}\mathrm{e}^{-z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{+}\Big)\Big\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{b\neq a}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(z_a - z_b)} \Big\{ \cosh(z_a - z_b)\mathcal{H}_a \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_b + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{-} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{X}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{X}_b^{+}\Big) + \mathrm{e}^{-z_a+z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{+} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{-} - \mathrm{e}^{z_a-z_b}\mathcal{V}_a^{-} \overset{s}{\otimes} \mathcal{V}_b^{+}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

with eigenfunctions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_n(u_1,...,u_n|z) &= \mathcal{V}^-(u_1|z)\Phi_{n-1}(u_2,...,u_n|z) \\
&\quad +\mathcal{X}^-(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1+u_j}}{\sinh(u_1-u_j)}[\epsilon \mathrm{e}^{-2u_j}]\Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z) \\
&\quad +\mathcal{X}^-(u_1|z)\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{(-)^j \mathrm{e}^{-u_1-u_j}}{\sinh(u_1+u_j)}\Phi_{n-2}(\hat{u}_j|z) \qquad (6.19)
\end{aligned}$$

and eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}
g_a &= \sum_{b\neq a=1}^{N}[\coth(z_a-z_b)+\coth(z_a+z_b)]-\sum_{j=1}^{n}[\coth(z_a-u_j)+\coth(z_a+u_j)] \\
&\quad +2\coth(2z_a)+\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\coth(z_a)+\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)\tanh(z_a) \qquad (6.20)
\end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{N}[\coth(u_j-z_a)+\coth(u_j+z_a)] &= \sum_{k\neq j=1}^{n}[\coth(u_j-u_k)+\coth(u_j+u_k)] \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\coth(u_j)-\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)\tanh(u_j) \qquad (6.21)
\end{aligned}$$

The KZ solution is

$$\Psi_\epsilon(z_1,...,z_N)=\oint\cdots\oint \mathcal{X}(u|z)\Phi_n(u|z)du_1...du_n, \qquad (6.22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}(u|z) &= [\cosh(u_j)]^{-(1-\epsilon)/2\kappa}[\cosh(z_a)]^{(1-\epsilon)/2\kappa}[\sinh(u_j)]^{-(1+\epsilon)/2\kappa}[\sinh(z_a)]^{(1+\epsilon)/2\kappa}[\sinh(2z_a)]^{1/\kappa} \\
&\quad \times\prod_{a=1}^{N}\prod_{b=a+1}^{N}[\sinh(z_a-z_b)\sinh(z_a+z_b)]^{1/\kappa}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\prod_{k=j+1}^{n}[\sinh(u_j-u_k)\sinh(u_j+u_k)]^{1/\kappa} \\
&\quad \times\prod_{a=1}^{N}\prod_{j=1}^{n}[\sinh(z_a-u_j)\sinh(z_a+u_j)]^{-1/\kappa} \qquad (6.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon=1$ for (F^-,G^-) case and $\epsilon=-1$ for (F^+,G^+) case.

7 Conclusion

In this paper a detailed analysis of the boundary quantum inverse scattering method is applied in order to derive the off-shell Bethe ansatz equation (3.19) for a graded 19-vertex model based on the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $osp(1|2)$. The boundaries ares given by three of solutions of the reflection equation and its dual, related in pairs by the isomorphism (2.8). The quasi-classical limit of this equation results in the off-shell Gaudin equation (5.13) and we have emphasized its importance in the resolution of the Gaudin's theory. From this equation are following the energy spectrum of the Gaudin magnets and the monodromy function for the integral representation of the correlation function as solution of the KZ equation.

There are several issues for which this paper could be useful: By the method presented in this paper one can include the other three 19-vertex models namely, the twisted $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ model , the Zamolodchikov-Fateev model and the Izergin-Korepin model for which the algebraic Bethe ansatz with diagonal boundary are known [42, 45, 46]. We also would like to know how to connect the rational limit of our three trigonometric results presented in this work can be related with the $osp(1|2)$ conformal field theory with conformal boundary conditions [44] and finally, should be really interesting to try extended these results in order to include all non-diagonal K-matrices classified in [41].

Acknowledgment: This work was supported in part by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo-FAPESP-Brasil and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento-CNPq-Brasil.

References

[1] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London, 1982.

[2] M. Gaudin, *La fonction d'onde de Bethe*, Masson, Paris, 1983.

[3] V.E. Korepin , N.M. Bogoliubov, A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge-1993.

[4] E. Abdalla, M.C.B Abdalla, K. Rothe, *Nonperturbative Methods in Two-dimensional Quantum Field Theory*, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

[5] M. A. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii, *Func. Anal. Appl.* **17** (1983), 17.

[6] A.A. Belavin, V.G. Drinfeld, *Func. Anal. Appl.* **16** (1982), 159.

[7] M. Gaudin, *J. de Physique* **37** (1976), 1087.

[8] M. C. Cambiaggio, A. M. F. Rivas and M. Saraceno, *Nucl. Phys.* **A624** (1997), 157 [nucl-th/9708031]

[9] L. Amico, Di Lorenzo and A. Osterloh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (2001), 5759 [cond-mat/0105537].

[10] J. von Delft and F. Braun, *Superconductivity in Ultrasmall Grains: Intriduction to Richardson's Exact Solution* (1999) [cond-mat/9911058].

[11] J. Dukelsky, S. Pittel and G. Sierra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** (2004), 643 [nucl-th/0405011].

[12] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B426** (1994), 19 [hep-th/9407087].

[13] V.G. Knizhnik, A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B247**(1984), 83.

[14] H.M. Babujian, R. Flume, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9** (1994), 2029 [hep-th/9310110].

[15] L.D. Faddeev, L.A. Takhtajan, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **34** (1979), 13.

[16] W. Galleas and M. J. Martins, *Nucl. Phys.* **B699** (2004), 455 [nlin.SI/0406003].

[17] A. Lima-Santos, *J.Stat.Mech.* **0607** (2006), P003 [nlin.SI/0602003].

[18] H.M. Babujian, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* **26** (1993), 6981 [hep-th/9307062].

[19] V. Schechtman, A. Varchenko, *Invent. Math.* **106** (1991), 139.

[20] N. Reshetikhin, A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical Asymptotics of Solutions to the* KZ *Equations* [hep-th/9402126].

[21] H. Babujian, A. Lima-Santos and R.H. Poghossian, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14** (1999) ,615 [solv-int/9804015].

[22] E. K. Sklyanin and T. Takebe, *Phys. Lett.* **A219** (1996), 217 [q-alg/9601028].

[23] D. Bernard, *Nucl. Phys.* **B309** (1988), 145.

[24] A. Lima-Santos and W. Utiel, *Nucl.Phys.* **B600** (2001), 512 [nlin.SI/0008002].

[25] V. Kurak and A. Lima-Santos, $J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.$ **38** (2005), 333 [nlin.SI/0407041].

[26] V. Kurak and A. Lima-Santos, *Nucl..Phys.* **B701** (2004), 497 [nlin.SI/0406025].

[27] P. P. Kulish and N. Manojlovic, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 676 [nlin.SI/0204037].

[28] E. K. Sklyanin, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** (1988), 2375.

[29] I. V. Cherednik, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **61** (1984), 35.

[30] K. Hikami, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995), 4997.

[31] A. Di Lorenzo, L. Amico, K. Hikami, A. Osterloh and G. Giaquinta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 644** (2002), 409 [cond-mat/0206521].

[32] M. D. Gould, Y. -Z. Zhang and S. -Y. Zhao, *Nucl.Phys.* **B630** (2002), 492 [nlin.SI/0110038].

[33] M. D. Gould, Y. -Z. Zhang and S. -Y. Zhao, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* **35** (2002), 9381 [nlin.SI/0110038].

[34] W. -L. Yang, Y. -Z. Zhang and M. D. Gould, *Nucl. Phys.* **B698** (2004), 503 [hep-th/0401048].

[35] W. -L. Yang, Y. -Z. Zhang and R. Sasaki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B729** (2005), 594 [hep-th/0507148].

[36] A. Lima-Santos and W. Utiel, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B20** (2006), 2175 [nlin.SI/0504060].

[37] L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** (1991), L17.

[38] H.-Q. Zhou, X.-Y. Ge, J. R. Links and M. D. Gould, *Nucl. Phys.* **B546** (1999),779 [cond-mat/9809056].

[39] L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992), 5657 [hep-th/9206047].

[40] V. V. Bazhanov and A. G. Schadrikov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **73** (1989), 1302.

[41] A. Lima-Santos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B558** (1999), 637 [solv-int/9906003].

[42] G. -L. Li, K. -J. Shi and R. -H. Yue, *Nucl. Phys.* **B670** (2003), 401.

[43] S. Artz, L. Mezincescu and R. I. Nepomechie, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995), 5131 [hep-th/9504085].

[44] R. E. Behrend, P. A. Pearce and J. -B. Zuber, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), L763 [hep-th/9807142].

[45] V. Kurak and A. Lima-Santos, *Nucl..Phys.* **B699** (2004), 595 [nlin.SI/0406050].

[46] V. Kurak and A. Lima-Santos, $J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.$ **38** (2005), 2359 [nlin.SI/0407006].

A The Commutation Relations

The equation (3.12) gives us the commutation relations for the matrix elements of the double-row monodromy matrix which play a fundamental role in the algebraic Bethe Ansatz. Here we present the commutations relations and their coefficientes using a compact notation. Recall that the entries of the operator

$$\mathcal{U}(u) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_1(u) & \mathcal{B}_1(u) & \mathcal{B}_2(u) \\ \mathcal{C}_1(u) & \mathcal{D}_2(u) & \mathcal{B}_3(u) \\ \mathcal{C}_2(u) & \mathcal{C}_3(u) & \mathcal{D}_3(u) \end{array} \right), \tag{A.1}$$

are given by (3.5).

Substituting (2.15) and (A.1) into (3.12) we get 81 equations involving products of two matrix elements of $\mathcal{U}(u)$. These equations can be manipulated in ordem to put the product of pairs of operators in the normal ordered form. To do this we shall proceed in the following way. First we denote by $E[i,j]=0$ the (i,j) component of the matrix equation (3.12) and collect them in blocks $B[i,j], i=1,...,5, j=i,...,10-i$, defined by

$$B[i,j] = \{F_{ij}=E[i,j],\ f_{ij}=E[j,i],\ FF_{ij}=E[10-i,10-j],\ ff_{ij}=E[10-j,10-i]\} \tag{A.2}$$

From these blocks we can see that the pair (F_{ij}, f_{ij}) as well as (FF_{ij}, ff_{ij}) can be solved simultaneously.

We introduce the notation

$$D_i = \mathcal{D}_i(u), \quad d_i = \mathcal{D}_i(v), \quad B_i = \mathcal{B}_i(u), \quad b_i = \mathcal{B}_i(v), \quad C_i = \mathcal{C}_i(u), \quad c_i = \mathcal{C}_i(v) \tag{A.3}$$

for the operators of the double-row monodromy matrix and

$$X_i = x_i(u+v), \quad Y_i = y_i(u+v), \quad x_i = x_i(u-v), \quad y_i = y_i(u-v), \tag{A.4}$$

for the Boltzmann weigths and

$$\{Z\}_{ij} = \{z\}_{ij}(v,u), \qquad \{z\}_{ij} = \{z\}_{ij}(u,v), \quad F_i = f_i(u), \quad f_i = f_i(v). \tag{A.5}$$

for the coefficientes of the commutations relations, where

$$\{Z\} = A, B, C, D, E, X \qquad \text{and} \qquad \{z\} = a, b, c, d, e, x. \tag{A.6}$$

Taking into account these simplifications, we will indicate the pair (F_{ij}, f_{ij}) or (FF_{ij}, ff_{ij}) for which the corresponding normal ordered relations were obtained:

- (F_{14}, f_{14})

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 b_1 &= a_{11} b_1 D_1 + a_{12} B_1 d_1 + a_{13} B_1 d_2 + a_{14} B_2 c_1 + a_{15} B_2 c_3 + a_{16} b_2 C_1 \\ C_1 d_1 &= A_{11} d_1 C_1 + A_{12} D_1 c_1 + A_{13} D_2 c_1 + A_{14} B_1 c_2 + A_{15} B_3 c_2 + A_{16} b_1 C_2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{11} &= \frac{x_1}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1}, \quad A_{12} = -F_1\frac{X_5}{X_1} - \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1}, \quad A_{13} = -\frac{X_5}{X_1}, \\
A_{14} &= \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_6}{X_1}, \quad A_{15} = -\frac{X_7}{X_1}, \quad A_{16} = -\frac{x_1}{x_2}\frac{X_6}{X_1}. \qquad \text{(A.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

- (F_{17}, f_{17})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 b_2 &= b_{11} b_2 D_1 + b_{12} B_2 d_1 + b_{13} B_2 d_2 + b_{14} B_2 d_3 + b_{15} B_1 b_1 + b_{16} B_1 b_3 \\
C_2 d_1 &= B_{11} d_1 C_2 + B_{12} D_1 c_2 + B_{13} D_2 c_2 + B_{14} D_3 c_2 + B_{15} C_1 c_1 + B_{16} C_3 c_1 \qquad \text{(A.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{11} &= \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_1}, \quad B_{12} = F_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_6}{X_1} - F_2\frac{X_7}{X_1} - \frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_1}, \quad B_{13} = \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_6}{X_1} - F_3\frac{X_7}{X_1}, \\
B_{14} &= -\frac{X_7}{X_1}, \quad B_{15} = -\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_2}{X_1}, \quad B_{16} = -\frac{X_5}{X_1}. \qquad \text{(A.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

- (FF_{36}, ff_{36})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 b_3 &= x_{11} b_3 D_1 + x_{12} b_1 D_1 + x_{13} B_1 d_1 + x_{14} B_1 d_2 + x_{15} B_1 d_3 + x_{16} B_2 c_1 \\
&\quad + x_{17} B_2 c_3 + x_{18} b_2 C_1 \\
C_3 d_1 &= X_{11} d_1 C_3 + X_{12} d_1 C_1 + X_{13} D_1 c_1 + X_{14} D_2 c_1 + X_{15} D_3 c_1 + X_{16} B_1 c_2 \\
&\quad + X_{17} B_3 c_2 + X_{18} b_1 C_2 \qquad \text{(A.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

with the following coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{11} &= \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2}, \quad X_{12} = \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{11}, \\
X_{13} &= F_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2} - F_2\frac{X_6}{X_2} - \frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{12} \\
X_{14} &= -F_3\frac{X_6}{X_2} + \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{13}, \\
X_{15} &= -\frac{X_6}{X_2}, \quad X_{16} = -\frac{y_7}{x_3} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{14} \\
X_{17} &= -\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_5}{X_2} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{15}, \quad X_{18} = \frac{y_5}{x_3} - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}A_{16}. \qquad \text{(A.12)}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for each pair of equations the corresponding commutation relations are related by interchanging

$$u \leftrightarrow v, \qquad D_i \leftrightarrow d_i, \qquad B_i \leftrightarrow c_i, \qquad C_i \leftrightarrow b_i \qquad \text{(A.13)}$$

- (F_{24}, f_{24})

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 b_1 &= c_{11} b_1 C_1 + c_{12} b_1 C_3 + c_{13} B_1 c_3 + c_{14} B_3 c_3 + c_{15} b_2 C_2 + c_{16} d_1 D_1 + c_{17} d_1 D_2 \\ &\quad + c_{18} D_1 d_1 + c_{19} D_1 d_2 + c_{110} D_2 d_1 + c_{111} D_2 d_2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

with the following coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= -\frac{X_4}{X_1}, \quad c_{12} = \frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_6}{X_1}, \quad c_{13} = -\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_6}{X_1}, \quad c_{14} = -\frac{X_7}{X_1}, \quad c_{15} = \frac{X_5}{X_1}, \\ c_{16} &= \frac{Y_5}{X_1} + F_1 \frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1}, \quad c_{17} = \frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1}, \quad c_{18} = -f_1 (\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1} + F_1 \frac{X_5}{X_1}), \\ c_{19} &= -(\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_1} + F_1 \frac{X_5}{X_1}), \quad c_{110} = -f_1 \frac{X_5}{X_1}, \quad c_{111} = -\frac{X_5}{X_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

The pairs (F_{16}, f_{16}), (F_{18}, f_{18}), (FF_{16}, ff_{16}), (FF_{18}, ff_{18}) and F_{19} form a closed set of equations from which we have derived the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 b_1 &= e_{11} b_1 B_2 + e_{12} b_2 B_1 + e_{13} b_2 B_3, \\ C_1 c_2 &= E_{11} c_2 C_1 + E_{12} c_1 C_2 + E_{13} c_3 C_2 \\ B_3 b_2 &= e_{41} b_2 B_3 + e_{42} b_1 B_2 + e_{43} b_3 B_2 \\ C_2 c_3 &= E_{41} c_3 C_2 + E_{42} c_2 C_1 + E_{43} c_2 C_3 \\ B_1 b_2 &= e_{21} b_2 B_1 + e_{22} b_2 B_3 + e_{23} b_1 B_2 + e_{24} b_3 B_2 \\ C_2 c_1 &= E_{21} c_1 C_2 + E_{22} c_3 C_2 + E_{23} c_2 C_1 + E_{24} c_2 C3 \\ B_2 b_3 &= e_{31} b_3 B_2 + e_{32} b_1 B_2 + e_{33} b_2 B_1 + e_{34} b_2 B_3 \\ C_3 c_2 &= E_{31} c_2 C_3 + E_{32} c_2 C_1 + E_{33} c_1 C_2 + E_{34} c_3 C_2 \\ B_2 b_2 &= b_2 B_2, \qquad C_2 c_2 = c_2 C_2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

where

$$e_{11} = \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{X_2}{X_3}, \quad e_{12} = \frac{y_5}{x_1}, \quad e_{13} = -\frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{X_6}{X_3}. \tag{A.17}$$

$$E_{41} = \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{X_2}{X_3}, \quad E_{42} = \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}, \quad E_{43} = \frac{x_5}{x_1} \tag{A.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{21} &= \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{X_2 X_3}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6}, \quad e_{22} = \frac{x_5 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_5 y_5)}{x_1 (x_2^2 - x_5 y_5)} \frac{X_2 X_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} \\ e_{23} &= -\frac{x_1 x_5}{x_2^2 - x_5 y_5}\frac{X_6 Y_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} + \frac{x_5}{x_1}\frac{X_2^2}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6}, \quad e_{24} = -\frac{x_1 x_2}{x_2^2 - x_5 y_5}\frac{X_3 X_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{31} &= \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_2^2 - x_5 y_5}\frac{X_2 X_3}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6}, \quad e_{32} = -\frac{x_5 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_5 y_5)}{x_1 (x_2^2 - x_5 y_5)} \frac{X_2 Y_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} \\ e_{33} &= -\frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{X_3 Y_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6}, \quad e_{34} = \frac{x_5}{x_1}\frac{X_6 Y_6}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} - \frac{x_1 x_5}{x_2^2 - x_5 y_5}\frac{X_2^2}{X_2^2 + X_6 Y_6} \end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

- (F_{45}, f_{45})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 b_1 &= a_{21} b_1 D_2 + a_{22} B_1 d_1 + a_{23} B_1 d_2 + a_{24} B_3 d_1 + a_{25} B_3 d_2 + a_{26} B_2 c_1 \\
&\quad + a_{27} B_2 c_3 + a_{28} b_2 C_1 + a_{29} b_2 C_3 \\
C_1 d_2 &= A_{21} d_2 C_1 + A_{22} D_1 c_1 + A_{23} D_2 c_1 + A_{24} D_1 c_3 + A_{25} D_2 c_3 + A_{26} B_1 c_2 \\
&\quad + A_{27} B_3 c_2 + A_{28} b_1 C_2 + A_{29} b_3 C_2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{21} &= \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{X_4}{X_2} - F_3 \frac{x_6}{x_2}\frac{X_6}{X_2} - \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2} A_{13} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{14} \\
a_{22} &= f_1 \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_4}{X_2} - (F_1 + \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}) a_{12} - \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2} A_{11} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{12} \\
a_{23} &= \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_4}{X_2} - (F_1 + \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}) a_{13}, \quad a_{24} = f_1 \frac{X_6}{X_2} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{11}, \quad a_{25} = \frac{X_6}{X_2} \\
a_{26} &= -(F_1 + \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}) a_{14} - \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2} A_{16} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{18} \\
a_{27} &= -\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_5}{X_2} - (F_1 + \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}) a_{15}, \quad a_{28} = -\frac{y_6}{x_2} - (F_1 + \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}) a_{16} - \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2} A_{14} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{16} \\
a_{29} &= -\frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{X_5}{X_2} - \frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{Y_5}{X_2} A_{15} - \frac{x_6}{x_2} X_{17}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

- (F_{15}, f_{15})

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 b_1 &= e_{01} b_1 B_1 + e_{02} b_2 D_2 + e_{03} b_2 D_1 + e_{04} B_2 d_1 + e_{05} B_2 d_2 \\
C_1 c_1 &= E_{01} c_1 C_1 + E_{02} d_2 C_2 + E_{03} d_1 C_2 + E_{04} D_1 c_2 + E_{05} D_2 c_2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{01} &= -\frac{x_3 x_4 - x_6 y_6}{x_1 x_3}, \quad e_{02} = \frac{x_3 x_4 - x_6 y_6}{x_1 x_3}\frac{X_6}{X_2} \\
e_{03} &= -\frac{x_3 y_6 - x_6 y_7}{x_1 x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2} + F_1 \frac{x_3 x_4 - x_6 y_6}{x_1 x_3}\frac{X_6}{X_2} \\
e_{04} &= -\frac{x_6}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2} + f_1 \frac{X_6}{X_2}, \quad e_{05} = \frac{X_6}{X_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

These commutaion relation play a special role in the construction of the n-particle states, as mentioned above.

- (FF_{26}, ff_{26})

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 b_3 &= d_{11} b_3 B_1 + d_{12} b_1 B_1 + d_{13} b_2 D_1 + d_{14} b_2 D_2 + b_{15} B_2 d_1 + d_{16} B_2 d_2 + d_{17} B_2 d_3 \\
C_3 c_1 &= D_{11} c_1 C_3 + D_{12} c_1 C_1 + D_{13} d_1 C_2 + D_{14} d_2 C_2 + D_{15} D_1 c_2 + D_{16} D_2 c_2 + D_{17} D_3 c_2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{11} &= \frac{X_3}{Y_5B_{16}-X_4}, \quad D_{12} = -\frac{(y_5Y_6+x_2Y_5B_{15})E_{01}-y_5Y_6}{x_2(Y_5B_{16}-X_4)} \\
D_{13} &= -\frac{(y_5Y_6+x_2Y_5B_{15})E_{03}-f_1y_5X_2+x_2Y_5B_{11}}{x_2(Y_5B_{16}-X_4)}, \\
D_{14} &= -\frac{(y_5Y_6+x_2Y_5B_{15})E_{02}-y_5X_2}{x_2(Y_5B_{16}-X_4)} \\
D_{15} &= -\frac{(y_5Y_6+x_2Y_5B_{15})E_{04}+F_1y_5X_2+F_2x_2X_5+x_2Y_5B_{12}}{x_2(Y_5B_{16}-X_4)} \\
D_{16} &= -\frac{(y_5Y_6+x_2Y_5B_{15})E_{05}+y_5X_2+F_3x_2X_5+x_2Y_5B_{13}}{x_2(Y_5B_{16}-X_4)}, \\
D_{17} &= -\frac{X_5+Y_5B_{14}}{Y_5B_{16}-X_4}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3b_1 &= d_{21}b_1B_3+d_{22}b_1B_1+d_{23}b_2D_1+d_{24}b_2D_2+d_{25}b_2D_3+d_{26}B_2d_1+d_{27}B_2d_2 \\
C_1c_3 &= D_{21}c_3C_1+D_{22}c_1C_1+D_{23}d_1C_2+D_{24}d_2C_2+D_{25}d_3C_2+D_{26}D_1c_2+D_{27}D_2c_2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{21} &= -\frac{X_4}{X_3}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{16}, \quad d_{22} = \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}-\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}e_{01}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{15} \\
d_{23} &= F_1\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_3}+F_2\frac{X_5}{X_3}-\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}e_{03}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{12} \\
d_{24} &= \frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_3}+F_3\frac{X_5}{X_3}-\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}e_{02}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{13}, \quad d_{25} = \frac{X_5}{X_3}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{14} \\
d_{26} &= -f_1\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_3}-\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}e_{04}+\frac{Y_5}{X_3}B_{11}, \\
d_{27} &= -\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_2}{X_3}-\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}e_{05}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.28}$$

- (F_{28}, f_{28})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2b_2 &= b_{21}b_2D_2+b_{22}B_2d_1+b_{23}B_2d_2+b_{24}B_2d_3+b_{25}B_1b_1+b_{26}B_1b_3 \\
&\quad +b_{27}B_3b_1+b_{28}B_3b_3 \\
C_2d_2 &= B_{21}d_2C_2+B_{22}D_1c_2+B_{23}D_2c_2+B_{24}D_3c_2+B_{25}C_1c_1+B_{26}C_3c_1 \\
&\quad +B_{27}C_1c_3+B_{28}C_3c_3
\end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{21} &= 1+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{27}+\frac{Y_6}{X_2}e_{05}, \quad B_{22}=-f_1B_{12}+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{23}+\frac{Y_6}{X_2}e_{03}\\
B_{23} &= -f_1B_{13}+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{24}+\frac{Y_6}{X_2}e_{02}, \quad B_{24}=-f_1B_{14}+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{25}\\
B_{25} &= -f_1B_{15}+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{22}+\frac{Y_6}{X_2}e_{01}, \quad B_{26}=-f_1B_{16}+\frac{x_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}d_{21}\\
B_{27} &= -\frac{y_5}{x_2}\frac{X_3}{X_2}, \quad B_{28}=\frac{X_6}{X_2}
\end{aligned}\tag{A.30}$$

- (FF_{35}, ff_{35})

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3b_1 &= c_{21}b_1C_1+c_{22}b_1C_3+c_{23}B_1c_3+c_{24}B_3c_3+c_{25}b_2C_2+c_{26}d_1D_1\\
&\quad +c_{27}d_1D_2+c_{28}d_1D_3+c_{29}D_1d_1+c_{210}D_1d_2+c_{211}D_2d_1+c_{212}D_2d_2\\
&\quad +c_{213}D_3d_1+c_{214}D_3d_2\\
C_1b_3 &= C_{21}b_1C_1+C_{22}b_3C_1+C_{23}B_3c_1+C_{24}B_3c_3+C_{25}b_2C_2+C_{26}d_1D_1\\
&\quad +C_{27}d_2D_1+C_{28}d_3D_1+C_{29}D_1d_1+C_{210}D_2d_1+C_{211}D_1d_2\\
&\quad +C_{213}D_1d_3+C_{214}D_2d_3
\end{aligned}\tag{A.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{21} &= \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}(c_{11}-1), \quad c_{22}=-\frac{x_4}{x_3}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{12}, \quad c_{23}=-\frac{y_7}{x_3}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{13}\\
c_{24} &= \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_5}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{14}, \quad c_{25}=-\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{15}\\
c_{26} &= F_1\frac{x_4}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2}-F_2\frac{x_6}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2}-\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{16}\\
c_{27} &= -F_3\frac{x_6}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2}+\frac{x_4}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{17}, \quad c_{28}=-\frac{x_6}{x_3}\frac{X_3}{X_2}\\
c_{29} &= f_1\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2}-f_1F_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2}+f_1F_2\frac{X_6}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{18}\\
c_{210} &= \frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_2}-F_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2}+F_2\frac{X_6}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{19},\\
c_{211} &= -f_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2}+f_1F_3\frac{X_6}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{110}\\
c_{212} &= -\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_4}{X_2}+F_3\frac{X_6}{X_2}+\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_2}c_{111}, \quad c_{213}=f_1\frac{X_6}{X_2}, \quad c_{214}=\frac{X_6}{X_2}
\end{aligned}\tag{A.32}$$

- (FF_{23}, ff_{23})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3b_1 &= a_{31}b_1D_3+a_{32}B_1d_1+a_{33}B_1d_2+a_{34}B_3d_1+a_{35}B_3d_2+a_{36}B_2c_1\\
&\quad +a_{37}B_2c_3+a_{28}b_2C_1+a_{29}b_2C_3\\
C_1d_3 &= A_{31}d_3C_1+A_{32}D_1c_1+A_{33}D_2c_1+A_{34}D_1c_3+A_{35}D_2c_3+A_{36}B_1c_2\\
&\quad +A_{37}B_3c_2+A_{28}b_1C_2+A_{29}b_3C_2
\end{aligned}\tag{A.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{31} &= \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{X_2}{X_3} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{15} \\
a_{32} &= -f_1\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3} + \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}A_{11} - (Q_1)a_{22} - (Q_2)a_{12} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{12} \\
a_{33} &= -\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3} - (Q_2)a_{13} - (Q_1)a_{23} \\
a_{34} &= f_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_2}{X_3} - (Q_1)a_{24} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{11}, \quad a_{35} = \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_2}{X_3} - (Q_1)a_{25} \\
a_{36} &= \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}A_{16} - (Q_1)a_{26} - (Q_2)a_{14} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{18} \\
a_{37} &= -\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} - (Q_2)a_{15} - (Q_1)a_{27} \\
a_{38} &= \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}A_{14} - (Q_2)a_{16} - (Q_1)a_{28} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{16} \\
a_{39} &= \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} + \frac{y_5}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}A_{15} - (Q_1)a_{29} - \frac{x_2}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}X_{17}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.34}$$

(FF_{13}, ff_{13})

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3b_2 &= b_{31}B_2d_3 + b_{32}B_2d_1 + b_{33}B_2d_2 + b_{34}b_2D_3 + b_{35}B_1b_1 + b_{36}B_1b_3 \\
&\quad + b_{37}B_3b_1 + b_{38}B_3b_3 \\
C_2d_3 &= B_{31}D_3c_2 + B_{32}D_1c_2 + B_{33}D_2c_2 + B_{34}d_3C_2 + B_{35}C_1c_1 + B_{36}C_3c_1 \\
&\quad + B_{37}C_1c_3 + B_{38}C_3c_3
\end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{31} &= -\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} - (Q_2)b_{14} - (Q_1)b_{24} \\
b_{32} &= -f_2\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3}D_{13} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}(B_{11} + D_{13}B_{16} + E_{03}B_{15}) \\
&\quad -(Q_2)b_{12} - (Q_1)b_{22} \\
b_{33} &= -f_3\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3}D_{14} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}(D_{14}B_{16} + E_{02}B_{15}) - (Q_2)b_{13} - (Q_1)b_{23} \\
b_{34} &= \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{X_1}{X_3} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}(B_{14} + D_{17}B_{16}) + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3}D_{17} \\
b_{35} &= \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3}D_{12} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}(D_{12}B_{16} + E_{01}B_{15}) - (Q_2)b_{15} - (Q_1)b_{25} \\
b_{36} &= -\frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3} - (Q_2)b_{16} - (Q_1)b_{26} \\
b_{37} &= \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_5}{X_3}D_{11} + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3}D_{11}B_{16} - (Q_1)b_{27} \\
b_{28} &= -\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{X_2}{X_3} - (Q_1)b_{28}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

Here we have used the notation

$$Q_1 = F_3 - \frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3}, \qquad Q_2 = F_2 - F_1\frac{y_6}{x_3}\frac{Y_6}{X_3} + \frac{y_7}{x_3}\frac{Y_7}{X_3} \tag{A.37}$$

B The H amplitudes

In this appendix we summarize the expressions for the H-functions presented in (3.18) and (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{11}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{14}(u,u_p)\left(c_{16}(u_p,u_q)+c_{18}(u_p,u_q)\right)+a_{15}(u,u_p)\left(c_{26}(u_p,u_q)+c_{29}(u_p,u_q)\right)\\
&\quad +b_{12}(u,u_p)G_{d_1}(u_p,u_q)+\omega(u_p,u)a_{11}(u,u_p)a_{12}(u,u_q)G_{d_1}(u,u_p)\\
H_{12}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{14}(u,u_p)\left(c_{17}(u_p,u_q)+c_{110}(u_p,u_q)\right)+a_{15}(u,u_p)\left(c_{27}(u_p,u_q)+c_{211}(u_p,u_q)\right)\\
&\quad +b_{13}(u,u_p)G_{d_1}(u_p,u_q)+\omega(u_p,u)a_{11}(u,u_p)a_{12}(u,u_q)G_{d_2}(u,u_p)\\
H_{13}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{14}(u,u_p)c_{19}(u_p,u_q)+a_{15}(u,u_p)c_{210}(u_p,u_q)+b_{12}(u,u_p)G_{d_2}(u_p,u_q)\\
&\quad +\omega(u_p,u)a_{11}(u,u_p)a_{13}(u,u_q)G_{d_1}(u,u_p)\\
H_{14}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{14}(u,u_p)c_{111}(u_p,u_q)+a_{15}(u,u_p)c_{212}(u_p,u_q)+b_{13}(u,u_p)G_{d_2}(u_p,u_q)\\
&\quad +\omega(u_p,u)a_{11}(u,u_p)a_{13}(u,u_q)G_{d_2}(u,u_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha 1}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{j6}(u,u_p)\left(c_{16}(u_p,u_q)+c_{18}(u_p,u_q)\right)+a_{j7}(u,u_p)\left(c_{26}(u_p,u_q)+c_{29}(u_p,u_q)\right)\\
&\quad +b_{j2}(u,u_p)G_{d_1}(u_p,u_q)+\omega(u_p,u)a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j2}(u,u_q)G_{d_1}(u,u_p)\\
&\quad +a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j4}(u,u_q)d_{13}(u_p,u)\\
H_{\alpha 2}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{j6}(u,u_p)\left(c_{17}(u_p,u_q)+c_{110}(u_p,u_q)\right)+a_{j7}(u,u_p)\left(c_{27}(u_p,u_q)+c_{211}(u_p,u_q)\right)\\
&\quad +b_{j3}(u,u_p)G_{d_1}(u_p,u_q)+\omega(u_p,u)a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j2}(u,u_q)G_{d_2}(u,u_p)\\
&\quad +a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j4}(u,u_q)d_{14}(u_p,u)\\
H_{\alpha 3}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{j6}(u,u_p)c_{19}(u_p,u_q)+a_{j7}(u,u_p)c_{210}(u_p,u_q)+b_{j2}(u,u_p)G_{d_2}(u_p,u_q)\\
&\quad +\omega(u_p,u)a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j3}(u,u_q)G_{d_1}(u,u_p)+a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j5}(u,u_q)d_{13}(u_p,u)\\
H_{\alpha 4}(u_p,u_q) &= a_{j6}(u,u_p)c_{111}(u_p,u_q)+a_{j7}(u,u_p)c_{212}(u_p,u_q)+b_{j3}(u,u_p)G_{d_2}(u_p,u_q)\\
&\quad +\omega(u_p,u)a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j3}(u,u_q)G_{d_2}(u,u_p)+a_{j1}(u,u_p)a_{j5}(u,u_q)d_{14}(u_p,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

for $\alpha = 2, 3$.

These function satisfy the properties

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha 1}(u_p, u_q) &= \omega(u_p, u_q) H_{\alpha 1}(u_q, u_p) \\ H_{\alpha 2}(u_p, u_q) &= \omega(u_p, u_q) H_{\alpha 3}(u_q, u_p) \\ H_{\alpha 3}(u_p, u_q) &= \omega(u_p, u_q) H_{\alpha 2}(u_q, u_p) \\ H_{\alpha 4}(u_p, u_q) &= \omega(u_p, u_q) H_{\alpha 4}(u_q, u_p) \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

and in the quasi-classical limit of $\mathcal{F}_{lj}^{(n-2)}$ (5.5) their expansion have to be considered up to η^3 order:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha j}(u_p, u_q) &= h_{\alpha j}^{(0)}(u_p, u_q) + h_{\alpha j}^{(1)}(u_p, u_q)\eta + \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha j}^{(2)}(u_p, u_q)\eta^2 + \frac{1}{6} h_{\alpha j}^{(3)}(u_p, u_q)\eta^3 + \mathrm{o}(\eta^4) \\ \alpha &= 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

where $h_{\alpha j}^{(0)}(u_p, u_q) = h_{\alpha j}^{(1)}(u_p, u_q) = 0$.